

## Artículo de investigación

## Figurative comparative constructions in Epic (based on the Yakut Epic Olonkho “D’ulurujar Njurgun Bootur” and the Altai Heroic Epic “Maadaj-Kara”)

Образные сравнительные конструкции в эпическом тексте (на материале якутского олонхо «Дьулуруйар Ньургун Боотур» и алтайского героического эпоса «Маадай-Кара»)

Construcciones comparativas figurativas en Epic (basado en el Yakut Epic Olonkho "D'ulurujar Njurgun Bootur" y el Altai Heroic Epic "Maadaj-Kara")

The study was funded by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, State project No. FSRG-2017-0014, mnemonic code 0794-2017-0014

Recibido: 12 de julio del 2019

Aceptado: 20 de agosto del 2019

Written by:

**Nikolay Nikolaevich Efremov**<sup>271</sup>

SPIN: 5402-8366

**Auyana Alekseevna Ozonova**<sup>272</sup>

SPIN: 1421-3710

**Alena Kirillovna Prokopieva**<sup>273</sup>

SPIN: 1493-3772

### Abstract

The paper analyzes structure and semantics of figurative comparative constructions describing appearance of main characters in the Yakut epic Olonkho “D’ulurujar Njurgun Bootur” written by a classic Yakut writer P.A. Ojunsij as compared to the Altai heroic epic “Maadaj-Kara”. It has been found that, comparative polypredicative constructions with figurative meaning play a leading role in description of those characters in the Yakut Olonkho while in the Altai epic monopredicative constructions are found in similar cases. In the analyzed descriptions with comparative polypredicative constructions, the predicate of the subordinate predicative unit used as a comparison standard is formed by analytic-synthetic structures – attribute participles combined with the postposition *курдук*. In the Altai epic as opposed to the Yakut Olonkho, the major means to describe characters are noun constructions with the affix *-дьй* and constructions with the syntactic words *кентэ*

### Аннотация

В статье анализируется структура и семантика образных сравнительных конструкций, описывающих внешний вид основных персонажей в якутском олонхо «Дьулуруйар Ньургун Боотур», созданным классиком якутской литературы П.А. Ойунским, в сопоставлении с алтайским героическим эпосом «Маадай-Кара». Установлено, что при описании внешностей этих персонажей якутского олонхо ведущую роль играют сравнительные полипредикативные конструкции с образным значением, тогда как в алтайском эпосе в аналогичных случаях имеют место монопредикативные построения. В рассматриваемых описаниях якутского олонхо, которые представляются сравнительными полипредикативными конструкциями, предикат зависимой предикативной единицы, выступающей в качестве эталона сравнения, оформляется

<sup>271</sup> Doctor of Philology Chief research scientist Institute of Humanities and Problems of Indigenous Peoples of the North, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences. Yakutsk, Russia

<sup>272</sup> Candidate of Philology Senior researcher Institute of Philology, Siberian Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences. Novosibirsk, Russia

<sup>273</sup> Candidate of Philology. Associate professor Yakut language Department, Institute of Languages and Cultures of Peoples of the North-East of the Russian Federation, M. K. Ammosov North-Eastern Federal University Yakutsk, Russia.

'like, as if', *кеберлү* 'like, as if', *чилеп* 'like, as if', *ушкун / ошкош* 'like', *түней* 'alike'. Comparative polypredicative constructions with the participle form *-гандый* as a predicate of the subordinate predicative unit are used much less. Active functioning of comparative polypredicative constructions in the Yakut Olonkho results from extensive and intensive use of syntactic means of figurativeness of various levels in the Olonkho.

**Keywords:** Yakut heroic epic Olonkho, Altai epic, contacts, figurativeness, comparative construction, polypredicateness, comparison standard, comparison indicator.

аналитико-синтетическими структурами – определенными причастиями в сочетании с послелогом *курдук*. В алтайском эпосе, в отличие от якутского олонхо, основным средством описания персонажей служат именные конструкции с аффиксом *-дый* и конструкции со служебными словами *кептү* 'словно, подобно', *кеберлү* 'словно, подобно', *чилеп* 'словно, подобно', *ушкун / ошкош* 'словно', *түней* 'похож'. Значительно реже используются сравнительные полипредикативные конструкции с причастной формой *-гандый* в качестве сказуемого зависимой предикативной единицы. Активное функционирование в якутском олонхо сравнительных полипредикативных конструкций является результатом экстенсивного и интенсивного использования в тексте олонхо разноуровневых синтаксических средств выражения образности.

**Ключевые слова:** якутский героический эпос олонхо, алтайский эпос, контакты, образность, сравнительная конструкция, полипредикативность, эталон сравнения, показатель сравнения.

## Resumen

El artículo analiza la estructura y la semántica de las construcciones comparativas figurativas que describen la aparición de los personajes principales en la épica de Yakut Olonkho "D'ulurujar Njurgun Bootur" escrita por un escritor clásico de Yakut P.A. Ojunksij en comparación con la épica heroica de Altai "Maadaj-Kara". Se ha encontrado que, las construcciones polipredicativas comparativas con significado figurativo juegan un papel principal en la descripción de esos personajes en el Yakut Olonkho, mientras que en el Altai se encuentran construcciones monopredicativas épicas en casos similares. En las descripciones analizadas con construcciones polypredicative comparativas, el predicado de la unidad predicativa subordinada utilizada como estándar de comparación está formado por estructuras analítico-sintéticas, participios de atributos combinados con la posición *курдук*. En la epopeya de Altai en oposición al Yakut Olonkho, los principales medios para describir los personajes son construcciones de sustantivos con el afijo *-дый* y construcciones con las palabras sintácticas *кептү* 'like, if if, *кеберлү* 'like, if if, *чилеп* 'like, como si', *ушкун / ошкош* 'me gusta', *түней* 'igual'. Las construcciones polypredicative comparativas con la forma participio *-гандый* como predicado de la unidad predicativa subordinada se usan mucho menos. El funcionamiento activo de las construcciones polipredicativas comparativas en el Yakut Olonkho resulta del uso extenso e intensivo de medios sintácticos de figuración de varios niveles en el Olonkho.

**Palabras clave:** Epopeya heroica de Yakut Olonkho, epopeya de Altai, contactos, figuración, construcción comparativa, polifredividad, estándar de comparación, indicador de comparación.

## Introduction

The paper deals with Yakut polypredicative constructions (PPC) expressing comparative relations based on epic formulae describing appearance of main characters in the Olonkho "D'ulurujar Njurgun Bootur" (Ojunksij, 2003) using the structural-semantic method and

comparative analysis. These constructions are compared to Altai comparative constructions used in the epic "Maadaj-Kara" (Maadaj-Kara, 1973) to describe appearance of main characters. The considered epic texts are one of the best folklore pieces. The Olonkho "D'ulurujar

Njurgun Bootur” was translated into Russian (Ojunsjij, 1975), “Maadaj-Kara” was translated into Russian and Yakut (Madaj Xara = Maadaj-Kara, 2015).

The analyzed Yakut and Altai epics were studied in terms of simple comparative constructions (Prokopieva, Ozonova and Zakharova, 2018). Comparative PPC have not been studied before. Yakut comparative PPC – compound sentences – were studied by E.I. Ubryatova (Ubryatova, 1976) and Ju.I. Vasiliev (Vasiliev, 1995) who defined and described synthetic (indicators *-лыы, -тан, -тааһар*) and analytic-synthetic means (participle forms in combination with the syntactic words *курдук, дылы, саҕа, кэриэтэ*) of expressing comparative relations.

Use of comparison in Olonkho has not been adequately described yet. There are papers (see e.g. (Dedjukina, 2010; Gerasimova and L’vova, 2016; L’vova, 2017)) where ways to express comparison in Olonkho are analyzed in structural-semantic and linguo-folkloristic terms. Z.I. Dedjukina found that out of 3,500 comparative phrases selected from Olonkho texts comparative constructions with the postposition *курдук* are the most used (70 %), constructions with the postposition *саҕа* make 29 %, while constructions with the indicator of the comparative case (*-тааһар*) 1 % (Dedjukina, 2010). L.N. Gerasimova and S.D. L’vova suggest that in the Olonkho texts they studied a storyteller “generally uses comparisons that are used as conventionalised formulae in Yakut” (Gerasimova and L’vova, 2016). Formulae from Olonkho are also found in informal language of the Yakut: “... formulae and formula-like expressions in their functional sense, strictly speaking, are not proper epic as they were widely used in Yakut speech actually by everybody” (Sleptsov, 1990). In Yakut epic studies, epic formulae are, first of all, artistically polished tirades “containing wholesome descriptive pictures and images” (Vasiliev, 1978). Such formulae are “brief, their point is concentrated in the base phrase, their meaning is aphoristic, and their artistic form is poetic. They are easy to memorize and thus they are traditionally passed from one storyteller to another, from one text to another” (Illarionov, 2006). Meaning of formulae is determined by meanings of their components (Robbek, 2014).

In Yakut studies, Olonkho poetics is considered in terms of comparison images in the paper by folklorists (Efimova and L’vova, 2017) where it is concluded that “in Yakut oral tradition, the level of mastering the artistic means of

comparison has reached its highest” (Efimova and L’vova, 2017).

In the Altai language, comparative PPC were studied by L.N. Tybykova. Her study shows that in Altai comparative relations are expressed by nominal and verbal constructions. In nominal constructions, nouns and pronouns are used as comparison subject and standard, while in verbal constructions the situation in the subordinate part of a compound sentence. Comparative PPC are represented by synthetic and synthetic-analytic constructions (Tybykova, 1989).

In the Altai language, comparative relations are studied on the epic “Maadaj-Kara” translated Russian as the first step of studying comparative constructions in this text in terms of anthropocentric theory of comparison (Anisimova, 2013). We described Yakut comparative constructions as compared to Altai (Efremov, 2017). The results of structural-semantic analysis of the constructions under discussion showed that each language possesses unique means of expressing these relations. In particular, Yakut as opposed to Altai demonstrates extensive development of comparative PPC which results from historical development of these languages. It is known that Yakut early separated from other Turkic languages and had contacts with Mongolic languages. It is evidenced by the fact that “the system of aspects and verbal word formation” of figurative and onomatopoeic verbs “have a direct link to morphology of Mongolian verb” (Ubryatova, 2011). Comparative monopredicative and polypredicative constructions in Yakut are also used to express figurative semantics.

In Khakass, comparisons are studied comparatively in Khakass and Russian epic texts as means to create imagery in such texts (Vojtenko, 2015). In epic of Mongolic peoples, semantics of formulae constituted by epitheton ornans and conventionalized comparisons is covered (Dampilova, 2015). Functions of comparisons in the structure of Mansi epic have been investigated (Gerasimova, 2017).

## Methods

In our paper, we analyzed figurative comparative PPC of the Yakut language used to describe appearance of Olonkho main characters using the structural-semantic method. The constructions under discussion are considered as a part of epic formulae (EF) since they are involved in expressing the latter. They are compared to those

in Altai that describe appearance of epic heroes in the epic “Maadaj-Kara”.

### Results and Discussion

Further, we deal with comparative constructions used to describe appearance of heroes of the Upper and Underworld and female characters in Yakut and Altai epics. Examples are provided by Russian translations by V. Derzhavin (Yakut Olonkho) and S. Surazakov (Altai epic) and glosses<sup>274</sup>. The translation of the Yakut Olonkho is characterized as poetic, while the translator of the Altai epic “sought to show poetic sense of the said in Russian without taking poetic license” [Maadaj-Kara, 62].

The analysis of the Altai heroic epic “Maadaj-Kara” reveals wide use of comparative constructions such as the ones with synthetic indicators (comparative affix *-дый*, ablative affix *-дан*, comparative participle affix *-гандый*) as well as analytic indicators (*кентү* ‘like, as if’, *кеберлү* ‘like, as if’, *чипен* ‘like, as if’, *ушкун / ошкош* ‘like’, *түңей* ‘alike’). Most frequent are constructions with the comparative affix *-дый* and the comparative indicator *кентү* ‘like’. It is worth mentioning that active use of comparative syntactic words *кеберлү* ‘like, as if’ and *кентү* ‘like, as if’ is characteristic for folklore texts, above all epic. Most examples are nominative constructions within simple sentences.

First of all, comparative constructions are used for describing main heroes: Maadaj-Kara and his wife Altyn-Targa, their son Kögüdej-Mergen, beauty Altyn-Küskü, their enemy from the Underworld Kara-Kula kaan, as well as the hero’s steed, people, livestock, etc.

### Appearance of the Middle World heroes in Yakut and Altai epics

In the Yakut Olonkho, heroes of the Middle World are described using bipredicative comparative constructions with a one-component subordinate predicative unit (SPU), the subordinate predicate of which is expressed by past participle (indicator *-быт*) combined with the postposition *курдук*. In the Altai epic, monopredicative comparative constructions with comparison standards and indicators expressed by affix and analytic means are used.

#### *Epic formula “Appearance of the hero Njurgun Bootur”*

In the Yakut epic appearance of the hero Njurgun Bootur is represented by description of his muscle, shin and forearm compared with a block: *Баай тиитим бастыг дүлүгүн / Балтаччы уран барыллаабыт курдук / Барылы ньыгыл быччыннаах эбит... / Уолах тиитим уһун дүлүгүн / Ууран-тутан усталаабыт курдук / Ньодо дьорозор сотолоох эбит, / Эриллэбэс тиитим орто дүлүгүн / Оломооттоон ылан олоппут курдук / Эриллэ дапсыл харылаах эбит* (115) ‘Крепкие, мощные **мышцы** его, / **Словно** корни лиственниц вековых, / **Голени** прямые его, / **Как** два толстых длинных бревна / Из очищенных лиственниц молодых, / **Локоть** согнутый, как рычаг, / **Как** средний могучий сук / Изогнутой кедровой сосны’ (Derzhavin, 89). ‘Strong, powerful **muscles** of his, / **Like** roots of century-old larches, / Straight **shins** of his, / **Like** two thick long logs / From stripped young larches, / The **elbow** bent like a lever, / **Like** a middle strong branch, / of a bent cedar pine’.

<sup>274</sup> Glosses from the Altai heroic epic are provided by Candidate of Philology A.A. Ozonova.

баай rich	тиит-им larch-POSS.1SG	бастын best
дүлүн-э-н block-POSS.3SG-ACC	балтаччы filled	уур-ан put-CVB
барыллаа-быт contour-PPFCT	курдук POSTP	барылы ньыгыл very strong
быччын-наах muscles-POSSV	эбит it appears that-EVID	уолах large
тиит-им larch-POSS.1SG	уһун long	дүлүн-э-н block-POSS.3SG-ACC
ууран-тутан hold=CVB	усталаа-быт hold lengthway-P. PFCT	курдук POSTP
ньодо дьороѳор slender	сото-лоох shin-	эбит, it appears that-EVID
эриллэбэс cross-fibred	тиит-им larch-POSS.1SG	орто middle
дүлүн-э-н block-POSS.3SG-ACC	оломооттоон ылан cut quickly= CVB	олоп-пут put into-PPFCT
курдук POSTP	эриллэ дапсыл strong crooked	хары-лаах elbow-POSSV

### *Epic formula “Appearance of the hero Maadaĵ-Kara”*

In the Altai epic the main character, hero Maadaĵ-Kara is described through parts of his face: eyebrows, beard, nose, lashes, eyes, cheeks. This formula is represented by nominal monopredicative comparative constructions, with standard of comparison being expressed by adjectives (e.g. *килин кара*), nouns and indicator of comparison being the affix *-дый*: *Килин кара бу кабакту*, / *Кирбе кара бу сагалду*, *Коо кырланг бу тумчукту*, *Кош агаштый бу кирбиктү*. / *Көргөн көзи көк чолмондый*, / *Көбөркий бойы су алтындый*. / *Жарым кайа бу лай жаакту*, / *Бүдүн кайа бу бүдүштү*, / *Кызыл-барал бу чырайлу* / *Баатырым болбый кайтты*. / *Бек тер бүткен бу белине* / *Бежен айгыр мал жүргедий*, / *Ак јаландый арказына* / *Алтан үүр кой тургадый*, / *Эки жарын ортозына* / *Јүс байталым тебелеедий*, / *Эки көстинг ортозына* / *Төртөн ирик тебелеедий*. (Maadaĵ Kara, 75) ‘Брови — **как черный бархат**, / Густая черная борода, / Нос, **подобный прямому хребту горы**, / Ресницы, **похожие** на северный лес, / Зоркие глаза,

**подобные синим звездам**, / Сам он, дорогой, чистому золоту **подобен**. / Щеки его — **с полскалы**, / Голова его — **с целую скалу**, / Лицо его — **как красный маральник** – / Таков был мой богатырь. / На крепко сложенной его пояснице / Пятьдесят табунов могут пастись, / На широкой, как луг, спине / Шестьдесят отар могут стоять. / Между двумя его лопатками / Сто кобылиц могут укрыться, / Между двумя его глазами / Сорок баранов могут стоять’. ‘Eyebrows are **like black velvet**, / Thick black beard, / Nose **like a straight mountain ridge**, / Lashes **looking like** northern woods, / sharp eyes **like blue stars**, / He himself is dear **as pure gold**. / His cheeks are **as big as half of a cliff**, / His head is **as big as a cliff**, / His face is **like red rosebay** - / That is my hero. / On the strong built small of his back / Fifty herds can pasture, / On the back as wide as a meadow / Sixty flocks can stand. / Between two his shoulder-blades / A hundred mares can hide, / Between two his eyes / Forty sheep can stand’.

<i>килиҥ</i> velvet	<i>кара</i> black	<i>бу</i> this
<i>кабак-ту,</i> eyebrow-POSSV	<i>кирбе</i> thick	<i>кара</i> black
<i>бу</i> this	<i>сагал-ду,</i> beard-POSSV	<i>коо</i> slender
<i>кырлан</i> bent	<i>бу</i> this	<i>тумчук-ту,</i> nose-POSSV
<i>кош</i> northern	<i>агаш-тый</i> woods-COMP	<i>бу</i> this
<i>кирбик-тү</i> eyelashes-POSSV	<i>көр-гөн</i> see-PART	<i>көз-и</i> eyes-POSS.3
<i>көк</i> blue	<i>чолмон-дый,</i> star-COMP	<i>көөркий</i> dear
<i>бой-ы</i> oneself-POSS.3	<i>су</i> PTCL	<i>алтын-дый.</i> gold-COMP
<i>жарым</i> half	<i>кайа</i> cliff	<i>бу</i> this
<i>лай</i> PTCL	<i>жаак-ту,</i> cheek-POSSV	<i>Бүдүн</i> whole
<i>кайа</i> cliff	<i>бу</i> this	<i>бүдүш-тү,</i> appearance-POSSV
<i>кызыл-барал</i> red rosebay	<i>бу</i> this	<i>чырай-лу</i> face-POSSV
<i>баатыр-ым</i> hero-POSS.1SG	<i>бол-бый</i> be-CV.NEG	<i>кайтты.</i> PTCL.MOD
<i>бек</i> strong	<i>тер</i> sweat	<i>бүт-кен</i> build-PART
<i>бу</i> this	<i>бел-и-не</i> small of the back-POSS.3-DAT	<i>бежен</i> fifty
<i>айгыр</i> stud	<i>мал</i> livestock	<i>жүр-гедий,</i> go-PP
<i>ак</i> white	<i>јалан-дый</i> meadow-COMP	<i>арка-зы-на</i> back-POSS.3-DAT
<i>алтан</i> sixty	<i>јүр</i> flock	<i>кой</i> sheep
<i>тур-гадый,</i> stand-PP	<i>Эки</i> two	<i>јарын</i> shoulder blade
<i>орто-зы-на</i> middle-POSS.3-DAT	<i>јүс</i> hundred	<i>байтал-ым</i> mare-POSS.1SG
<i>тебеле-едий,</i> stand-PART	<i>эки</i> two	<i>көс-тин</i> eyes-GEN
<i>орто-зы-на</i> middle-POSS.3-DAT	<i>төртөн</i> forty	<i>цир</i> neuter sheep
<i>тебеле-едий</i> stand-PART		

### Appearance of characters from the Underworld in Yakut and Altai epics

#### Epic formula "Appearance of an Underworld character in the Yakut *Olonkho*"

Is represented by comparative bipredicative constructions with impersonal and personal SPU. Subject participants of the situation, actants, are 'ice pick' (in Russian translation *сошник*

'ploughshare') and 'scythe' as nouns in the accusative case in impersonal SPU; 'hill, mountain' in personal SPU. Moduli of comparison in these constructions are *teeth*

(example 1), *claws* (example 2), *face* and *eyes* (examples 3 and 4) of an Underworld character. Example 1. *Амаан-дъамаан айадын / Ангал-сангал аһан, / (Абыс анньыны / Адаарыччы аспыт курдук) / Дъэбин күөх тингири хара тиистэрэ* (Dyuluruyar Nyurgun Bootur, 116)

'Железные ржавые зубы его, / Черные кривые клыки, / Похожие на сошники, / То и дело мелькали во рту' (Derzhavin, 90). 'Iron rusty teeth of his, / Black crooked fangs, / Looking like ice picks, / Every so often flickered in the mouth'.

амаан-дъамаан bottomless	айах-а-н mouth-POSS.3SG-ACC	ангал-сангал slowly
ас-ан open-CVB	абыс eight	анньыны-ны ice pick-ACC
адаарыччы awkward	ас-пыт drive into-PPFCT	курдук POSTP
дъэбин rust-NOM	күөх green	тингири seeking
хара black	тиис-тэр-э tooth-PL-POSS.3SG.	

(2) *(Уон дъаангы хотуурун / Умсарыта туппут курдук) / Уон дэгиэ хара тынгырахтара* (Dyuluruyar Nyurgun Bootur, 148) 'Пальцы его, / Выпускная десять / Острых

когтей, / Словно косы-горбуши, кривых...' (Derzhavin, 113). 'Fingers of his, / Showing ten / Sharp claws, / Bent like scythes'.

уон ten	дъаангы хотуура-н Verkhoyansk scythe-ACC	умсарыта upside down
туп-пут hold-PPFCT	курдук POSTP	уон ten
дэгиэ hooked	хара black=	тынгырах-тар-а claw-PL-POSS.3SG.

(3) *(Сыыр быстыбытын курдук) / Сылларыта сытыйбыт / Сытамматах сырайын / Сылланнатын кэбистэ, / (Хайа хайдыбытын курдук) хаспахтаах, / Хааннаах сиикэй эт бэргэ харабынан <...>* (Dyuluruyar Nyurgun Bootur, 49) 'Безобразная харя его / Стала морщиться,

дергаться, / Плюща нос, / Будто силился улыбнуться он / Из глазницы узкой, как щель горы, / Красными веками окружен, / Его единственный глаз' (Derzhavin, 36). 'His ugly muzzle / Began to crinkle, twitch, / Flattening his nose, / As if he tried to smile / From the eyehole as thin as a crack of a mountain, / Surrounded by red eyelids, / Is his only eye'.

сыыр hill-NOM	быһын-быт-а-н collapse-PPFCT-POSS.3SG-GEN	курдук POSTP
сылларыта сытыйбыт crinkle ugly-PPFCT	сытан-матах turn out-NEG	сырай-а-н face-POSS.3SG-ACC
сылланнаа-т-ан crinkle-CAUS-CVB	кэбистэ AUX	хайа mountain
хайын-быт-а-н collapse-PPFCT-POSS.3SG-GEN	курдук POSTP	хаспах-таах, hole
хааннаах blood-ADJ	сиикэй эт бэргэ never-healing scar	харах-а-нан eye-POSS.3SG-INSTR

#### *Epic formula describing appearance of an Underworld character in the Altai epic Kara-Kul*

When describing the Altai Underworld character, special attention is given to mouth and eyes that

are compared to lake, half moon, the mouth of the Underworld. The indicators of comparison

are analytic indicators *кеберлү* 'like, as if' and *кентү* 'like, as if': *Көргөн көзи көл кеберлү, / Көгөргөн лө бу чагылган, / Ай оозы таамы кентү, / Ачылган ла бу кызарган* (Maadaĵ Kara, 91) 'Зоркие его глаза, словно озера, / Синими

став, сверкают, / Рот, похожий на полумесяц, / Как пасть преисподней, раскрыт и краснеет.' 'His sharp eyes like lakes, / Having turned blue, sparkle, / The mouth looking like half-moon, / Is open and red like the mouth of the Underworld'.

<i>көр-гөн</i> see-PART	<i>көз-и</i> eyes-POSS.3	<i>көл</i> lake
<i>кеберлү</i> like	<i>көгөр-гөн</i> turn blue-PART	<i>лө</i> and
<i>бу</i> this	<i>чаг-ыл-ган</i> sparkle-PASS-PART	<i>ай</i> moon
<i>ооз-ы</i> mouth-POSS.3	<i>таамы</i> underworld	<i>кентү</i> like
<i>ач-ыл-ган</i> open-PASS-PART	<i>ла</i> CONJ	<i>бу</i> this
<i>кызар-ган</i> turn red-PART		

### Appearance of beauties in Yakut and Altai epics

When describing appearance of beauties in Yakut and Altai epics as with Middle World heroes and Underworld characters, various standards of comparison are used. However, to describe faces of female heroes both epics use sun and moon as standards of comparison.

#### Epic formula of the female hero *Kuys N'urgun in the Yakut Olonkho*

Uses monopredicative and bipredicative constructions with the indicator of comparison *курдук*. The standards of comparison are glittering of the setting sun, rays of the rising sun, sable fur, beaver fur, cowberry juice.

*Киирэн эрэр күн / Килбиэнин курдук / Килбиэннээх иэдэстээх, / Тахсан эрэр күн / Сардангатын курдук / Сардангалаах ньуурдаах, / (Киис кыыл барахсан / Килбиэннээх кэрэ түүтүн / Кэккэлэппит*

*курдук) / Кырталдьыйан көстөр / Кылапаччыгас кыламаннаах, (Уу буобуратын / Уоттаах түүтүн / Уурбут-тунпунт курдук) / Субул курдук Сурааһын хаастаах, (Уулаах отон уутун ылан Ууран-тутан сонпунт курдук) / Холобурдаан эттэххэ / Хоро-чопчу уостаах эбит* (Dyuluruĵar Nyurgun Bootur, 459) 'Красным отблеском Заходящего дня Горел румянец ее; Белым отблеском Восходящего дня Светилось ее лицо. Словно выложенные в ряд Шерстинки блестящие из хребта Отборного соболя-одинца, Блестели, сквозя, Ресницы ее. Словно выложенные в ряд Огнистые волоски Черного морского бобра, Выгнулись Длинные брови ее. Как брусники осенней сок, Губы у ней красны.' 'Her flush was burning like the red setting sun; her face was shining like the rising sun. Like a row of shining hair from the select singleton sable's back her eyelashes were shining through. Like a row of red hair of a black beaver her long eyebrows are bent. Her lips are red as juice of autumn cowberries'.

киирэн эр-эр set=PPres	күн sun	килбиэн-э-н shining-POSS.3SG-GEN
курдук POSTP	килбиэннээх radiant	иэдэс-тээх, cheeks-ADJ
тахсан эр-эр rise-PPres	күн sun	сарданга-та-н луч-POSS.3SG-GEN
курдук POSTP	сардангалаах ньуур-даах, white faced	киис кыыл барахсан singleton sable
килбиэн-нээх shining-ADJ	кэрэ beautiful	түү-тэ-н fur-POSS.3SG-ACC
кэккэлэт-пит put in a row-PPast	курдук POSTP	кырталдьый-ан shine-CVB
көһүн-өр seem-PPres	кылапаччий-гас shine-ADJ	кыламан-наах, eyelashes-ADJ



уу буобура-та-н beaver- POSS.3SG-GEN	уот-таах fire-ADJ	түү-тэ-н fur-POSS.3SG-ACC
уурбут-туппут put beautifully-PPres	курдук POSTP	субул-NOM a row of hay
курдук POSTP	сурааһын line	хаас-таах, eyebrows-ADJ
уулаах отон-Ø cowberry-NOM	уу-та-н juice-POSS.3SG-ACC	ыл-ан take-CVB
ууран-тут-ан attach-CVB	сот-бут spread-PPast	курдук POSTP
холобурдаа-ан cite as an example-CVB	эт-тэх-хэ say-COND-DAT	хоро-чопчу round button
уос-таах lips-ADJ	эбит EVID	

### *Epic formula of the beauty Altyn-Küskü in Altai epic*

The Altai epic uses the following means to describe Altyn- Küskü's beauty: the comparative affix *-дый*, comparative construction with the ablative case indicator *-дан*, and the postposition *ушкүш / ошкош* 'like, as if'.

*Ай кеберин айга бербес, / Алтын ушкүш бу болуптыр, / Күн кеберин күнге бербес, / Күмүш ушкүш эмди болтыр. / Солонгый бу качарлу, / Толы айдый ол чырайлу, / Көргөн көзи көк чолмондый, / Көөркий бойы су алтындый, / Чыккан айдаг чыпчылыду, / Тийген күннег кеп-кеендү (Maadaј Kara, 225)* 'Луноподобное лицо ее на луну не сменяешь, / Как золото, блестело, / Солнцеподобное

*лицо* ее на солнце не сменяешь, / **Как серебро**, сияло. / **Щеки подобны радуге**, / Лицо круглое, **как полная луна**, / Зоркие глаза — **как синие звезды**, / Сама — **как чистое золото**. / *Взошедшей луны прекраснее, Лучей утреннего солнца краше.* 'Her moonlike face you will not change for the moon, / **Like gold** it glittered, / Her sun-like face you will not change for the sun, / **Like silver** it shone. / Her cheeks are **like a rainbow**, / The face is as round **as the full moon**, / Sharp eyes are **like blue stars**, / She herself is **like pure gold**. / She is more beautiful than the risen moon, more pretty than rays of the morning sun.'

<i>ай</i> moon	<i>кебер-и-н</i> face-POSS.3-ACC	<i>ай-га</i> moon-DAT
<i>бер-бес,</i> give= PART.NEG	<i>алтын</i> gold	<i>ушкүш</i> like
<i>бу</i> this	<i>бол-уптыр,</i> be-EVID	<i>күн</i> sun
<i>кебер-и-н</i> face-POSS3-ACC	<i>күн-ге</i> sun-DAT	<i>бер-бес,</i> give- PART.NEG
<i>күмүш</i> silver	<i>ушкүш</i> like	<i>эмди</i> now
<i>бол-тыр.</i> be-EVID	<i>солонгы-дый</i> rainbow-COMP	<i>бу</i> this
<i>качар-лу</i> cheeks-POSSV	<i>толы</i> full	<i>ай-дый</i> moon-COMP
<i>ол</i> he	<i>чырай-лу,</i> face-POSSV	<i>көр-гөн</i> see-PART
<i>көз-и</i> eyes-POSS.3	<i>көк</i> blue	<i>чолмон-дый</i> star-COMP
<i>көөркий</i> dear	<i>бой-ы</i> oneself-POSS.3	<i>су</i> pure
<i>алтын-дый,</i> gold-COMP	<i>чык-кан</i> rise-PART	<i>ай-дан</i> moon-ABL
<i>чыпчылыду,</i> beautiful	<i>тий-ген</i> get-PART	<i>күн-нег</i> sun-ABL
<i>кеп-кеендү</i> pretty		

## Conclusion

The comparative analysis of comparative constructions used to describe appearance of main characters of the Yakut heroic epic “D’ulurujar Njurgun Bootur” by P.A. Ojuns kij and the Altai epic “Maadaj Kara” by A.G. Kalkin revealed the following similarities and differences:

- a) Figurative comparative constructions are major means to describe appearance of main characters in traditional epic formulae both in Yakut and Altai epics;
- b) In the Yakut epic, such constructions are characterized by both monopredicative and polypredicative structure while in the Altai epic they are, as a rule, monopredicative;
- c) Standards and indicators of comparison are different in the analyzed constructions. The following standards are used to describe appearance of main characters: 1) *Middle World hero* – Yakut Olonkho: larch; Altai epic: velvet, mountain ridge, woods, star, gold, cliff, rosebay; 2) *Underworld character* – Yakut Olonkho: ice pick, scythe, hill; Altai epic: lake, half-moon, Underworld mouth; 3) *beauties* – Yakut Olonkho: sun, moon, sable fur, beaver hair, cowberry juice; Altai epic: moon, sun, gold, silver, rainbow, stars. Indicators of comparison: Yakut Olonkho – postposition *күрдүк*; Altai epic – comparative affix *-дый*, ablative case affix *-тан*, comparative participle *-гандый*, and comparative syntactic words *кентү* ‘like, as if’, *кеберлү* ‘like, as if’, *чипен* ‘like, as if’, *ушкун / ошкош* ‘like’, *түңгөй* ‘alike’.

Active functioning of comparative polypredicative construction in the Yakut epic results from intensive and extensive use of syntactic means of expressing figurativeness of various levels.

## Abbreviations

**1, 3 SG** – personal affix, 1, 3 person singular;  
**3PL** – personal affix, 3 person plural;  
**NOM** – indefinite case;  
**ABL** – ablative case;  
**ACC** – accusative case;  
**AUX** – auxiliary verb;  
**COMP** – comparative affix *-дый*;  
**CONJ** – conjunction;  
**GEN** – genitive case;

**DAT** – dative case;  
**EVID** – indicator of the past evidential tense ending with *-нтыр*;  
**NEG** – negative form of verb, participle, adverbial participle;  
**POSTP** – postposition;  
**PP** – participle ending with *=быт*;  
**PART** – participle form;  
**PASS** – passive affix;  
**POSS** – possessive affix,  
**POSSV** – possession form;  
**PRTCL** – particle;  
**P. PFCT** – past perfect;  
**ADJ** – adjective;  
**ADV** – adverb(ial);  
**equality sign** – morpheme joint of word forming and form-building affixes;  
**dash** – morpheme joint of morph superposition.

## References

- Anisimova, N.A. (2013). Comparative relations in simple sentence (as in the Altai heroic epic “Maadaj-Kara” translated by A. Plitchenko). *Scientific electronic journal Concept*, 1, 51-55.
- Dampilova, L.S. (2015). Semantics of formula expression in epics of Mongolic peoples. *Herald of the Burjat State University*, 10, 179-183.
- Dedjukina, Z.I. (2010). Comparative constructions with the postposition *саға*: hero’s house description in Olonkho. *Problems of Turkic studies at the turn of the 20<sup>th</sup>-21<sup>st</sup> centuries: Proceedings of the International scientific conference*. Yakutsk: Publishing House of the Yakut University, 257-259.
- Efimova, L.S., L’vova, S.D. (2017). Poetics of Yakut Olonkho: images of comparison (based on the text recorded by A.G. Middendorf). *Herald of the M.K. Ammosov North-Eastern Federal University: Series Epic Studies*, 2 (02), 65-74.
- Efremov, N.N. (2017). Comparative constructions in Yakut and Altai (comparative-contrastive description). *Peoples and cultures of Southern Siberia. Proceedings of the 4<sup>th</sup> International scientific conference devoted to the 155<sup>th</sup> anniversary of Khakass scientist, Doctor of comparative linguistics, orientalist, Professor of the N.F. Katanov Imperial Kazan University (27-29 September 2017)*. Abakan: Khakass Book Publishing, 181-184.
- Gerasimova, S.A. (2017). Functions of comparison in structure of Mansi epic. *Herald of Čeljabinsk State Pedagogical University*, 9, 135-141.
- Gerasimova, L.N., L’vova, S.D. (2016). The ways to express comparison in the epic “UdaganUolumar and Aygir” and “Immortal Bergen” by N.T. Abramov”. *Herald of M.K.*

- Ammosov North-Eastern Federal University, Series Epic Studies, 52-64.
- Illarionov, V.V. (2006). Yakut oral tradition and problems of Olonkho revival. Novosibirsk: Science, 191.
- L'vova, S.D. (2017). Comparisons in descriptions of nature in the Middle World (on material of Olonkho texts of the Vilui region). Epic heritage of peoples of Yakutia: traditions and present. Proceedings of scientific conference. Yakutsk: Media Holding Yakutia, 66-70.
- Maadaj-Kara. (1973). Altai heroic epic. Moscow. Main editorial for oriental literature. Publishing House Nauka, 474.
- Maadaj-Xara=Maadaj-Kara. (2015). Altai heroic epic. Recorded by S. Surazakov, translated into Russian and Yakut. Yakutsk: Bičik, 480.
- Ojunksij, P.A. (1975). Njurgun Bootur the Swift: Yakut heroic epic. Translated into Russian by V. Derzhavin. Yakutsk: Book Publishing, 432.
- Prokopieva, A.K., Ozonova, A.A., Zakharova, A.M. (2018). The comparison of comparative constructions of synthetic and analytic type in Yakut and Altai heroic epics. Revista Publicando, 5 (18.2), 294-302.
- Robbek, L.V. (2014). Lexico-semantic features of language of the Yakut heroic epic Olonkho. Novosibirsk: Science, 140.
- Sleptsov, P.A. (1990). Yakut literary language: formation and development of national standards. Novosibirsk: Science, Siberian Branch, 277.
- Tybykova, L.N. (1989). Comparative constructions of the Altai language: dissertation of ... candidate of philological sciences: 10.02.06. Novosibirsk, 177.
- Ubryatova, E.I. (1976). Studies on the Syntax of the Yakut Language, Vol. 2, Part 2, Compound Sentence. Novosibirsk.
- Ubryatova, E.I. (2011). Selected works. Novosibirsk: Novosibirsk State University, 282.
- Vasiliev, G.M. (1978). Olonkho verse. Epic heritage of people of Siberia and the Russian Far East. Yakutsk: SB USSR Academy of Sciences, 100-104.
- Vasiliev, Ju.I. (1995). Comparative compound sentences. Grammar of the modern Yakut literary language. Vol. 2. Syntax. Novosibirsk: Science, 274-278.
- Vojtenko, E.P. (2015). Comparisons in Khakass and Russian epic texts. Herald of Novosibirsk State University. Series: History and Philology. Vol. 14, Issue 9: Philology, 228-234.