Artículo de investigación Evaluation of universities' intellectual capital influence on the intellectual capital of the regions of their location

Evaluación de la influencia del capital intelectual de las universidades en el capital intelectual de las regiones de su ubicación

Avaliação da influência do capital intelectual das universidades sobre o capital intelectual das regiões de sua localização

Оценка влияния интеллектуального капитала вузов на интеллектуальный капитал регионов их локации

Recibido: 16 de enero de 2019. Aceptado: 06 de febrero de 2019

Written by: Maltseva A.A. (Corresponding author)⁶⁵ Veselov I.N.⁶⁶ Lebedev K.V.⁶⁷ Bedenko N.N.⁶⁸

Abstract

The paper presents the study's results, which allow to reveal the role and importance of universities' intellectual capital in the formation of a comprehensive assessment of the indicators of regional intellectual capital.

Based on the study of theoretical materials and methods on the problems of intellectual capital of universities and regions, systems of indicators of their evaluation were composed. Hypotheses about the mutual influence of the intellectual capital of the region and universities, as well as their individual elements were put forward.

As a result of hypothesis testing, based on the results of correlation-regression analysis, hypotheses about the influence of the universities' intellectual capital as well as their individual elements (human and reputational capital) on the regions' intellectual capital, as well as the influence of the universities' intellectual

Resumen

El documento presenta los resultados del estudio, que permiten revelar el papel y la importancia del capital intelectual de las universidades en la formación de una evaluación integral de los indicadores del capital intelectual regional.

Sobre la base del estudio de los materiales y métodos teóricos sobre los problemas del capital intelectual de las universidades y regiones, se compusieron sistemas de indicadores de su evaluación. Se expusieron hipótesis sobre la influencia mutua del capital intelectual de la región y las universidades, así como sus elementos individuales.

Como resultado de la prueba de hipótesis, basada en los resultados del análisis de correlación-regresión, las hipótesis sobre la influencia del capital intelectual de las universidades y sus elementos individuales (capital humano y reputacional) en el capital

⁶⁵ Candidate of Economic Sciences, Director of Lurye Scientific and Methodological Center for Higher School Innovative Activity, Tver State University, Tver, e-mail: 80179@list

⁶⁶ Candidate of chemical sciences, Senior researcher in Lurye Scientific and Methodological Center for Higher School Innovative Activity, Tver State University, Tver

⁶⁷ Scientific Research Institute Republican Research and Consulting Center of Expertise, Moscow

⁶⁸ Doctor of Economics, Candidate of philosophical sciences, Professor, Head of Management Department in Tver State University, Tver



capital on regions' human capital were confirmed with an above-average level.

Keywords: intellectual capital, region, university, human capital, reputation capital, infrastructural capital, capital of intellectual property. intelectual de las regiones, así como en La influencia del capital intelectual de las universidades en el capital humano de las regiones se confirmó con un nivel superior al promedio.

Palabras clave: capital intelectual, región, universidad, capital humano, capital de reputación, capital de infraestructura, capital de propiedad intelectual.

Resumo

O artigo apresenta os resultados do estudo, que permitem revelar o papel e a importância do capital intelectual das universidades na formação de uma avaliação abrangente dos indicadores do capital intelectual regional.

Com base no estudo de materiais e métodos teóricos sobre os problemas de capital intelectual de universidades e regiões, foram constituídos sistemas de indicadores de sua avaliação. Hipóteses sobre a influência mútua do capital intelectual da região e das universidades, bem como seus elementos individuais foram apresentadas.

Como resultado do teste de hipóteses, baseado nos resultados da análise de correlação-regressão, hipóteses sobre a influência do capital intelectual das universidades, bem como seus elementos individuais (capital humano e reputacional) sobre o capital intelectual das regiões, bem como a A influência do capital intelectual das universidades sobre o capital humano das regiões foi confirmada com um nível acima da média.

Palavras-chave: capital intelectual, região, universidade, capital humano, capital de reputação, capital infraestrutural, capital de propriedade intelectual.

Аннотация

В работе представлены результаты исследования, которые позволяют выявить роль и значение интеллектуального капитала вузов в формировании комплексной оценки показателей регионального интеллектуального капитала.

На основе изучения теоретических материалов и методов по проблематике интеллектуального капитала университетов и регионов были выделены системы показателей их оценки. Были выдвинуты гипотезы о взаимном влиянии интеллектуального капитала региона и вузов, а также их отдельных элементов.

В результате проверки гипотез на основании обработки результатов корреляционнорегрессионного анализа были подтверждены гипотезы с уровнем выше среднего о наличии влияния интеллектуального капитала вузов, а также отдельных их элементов (человеческого и репутационного капитала) на интеллектуальный капитал регионов, а также интеллектуального капитала вузов на человеческий капитал регионов.

Ключевые слова: интеллектуальный капитал, регион, университет, человеческий капитал, репутационный капитал, инфраструктурный капитал, капитал интеллектуальной собственности

Introduction

The study urgency of intellectual capital issues is due to the essential role of intangible factors in the current conditions of the knowledge economy in order to achieve the competitive advantages of the territories. The economic effect of meso-level systems is increasingly determined by the level of generation, transfer

and use of knowledge within the boundaries of their territorial location.

The subject of region's intellectual capital and its significance in modern conditions is actively raised both in scientists and specialists researches, and in state documents of various levels.

Ensuring country's independence and competitiveness through the creation of an effective system of building up and making full use of the nation's intellectual potential was determined as the goal of country's scientific and technological development in the Strategy for Scientific and Technological Development of the Russian Federation (2016).

In the Strategy (2016) among the fundamental principles of state scientific and technological policy allocate a concentration of resources: the concentration of intellectual, financial, organizational and infrastructural resources to support R&D, the creation of products and services.

It is the concentration of intellectual potential as one of the most significant resources within the boundaries of a particular territory that provides it with the additional competitive advantages necessary to meet the great challenges facing the country.

Among these challenges are the exhaustion of the opportunities for the economy's "raw" growth, that requires the development of a competitive sector of industry and services in the regions; a demographic transition, including among other things new social and medical problems and stipulating the development of the territorial health care system on a fundamentally new level; an increase in anthropogenic pressures on the environment, which are prerequisites for improving environmental management at the regional level; the need for food security, which can be provided in the regions with the involvement of highly qualified specialists and innovative technologies to solve problems; a qualitative change in the nature of global and local energy systems, which requires the development of energy conservation within the boundaries of specific territories, and a number of others.

All big challenges facing the Russian Federation are being conveying on regional social and economic systems and require the formation and implementation of specific approaches to overcome them. Due to the limited material resources, non-material factors can become the real panacea that will provide the significant competitive advantages and economic growth for specific territories that successfully accumulate and use their intellectual capital.

The identification of the mutual influence of the intellectual capital of the region and the universities located on its territory has the great importance for ensuring their development.

Higher education institutions within the framework of the "University 4.0" concept can ensure the formation and development of the territories' intellectual capital, which in turn is the source and element of their own intellectual capital, taking into account the formed ties with other subjects of the regional economy. All this, with proper management, can create the synergy effect from the interaction of the parties, which strengthens the role of intellectual capital of territory's individual subjects, as well as the multiplier effect that arises during development of a university's intellectual capital, which increases a region's intellectual capital, that can be ensured only with active participation and involvement of a territory's intangible resources in a university's activity.

Literature review

At the present stage the problems of the theory and methodology of intellectual capital management in the regions are the subject of a rather large number of studies, yet they remain insufficiently studied.

Currently, the literature presents various points of view on the definition of "region's intellectual capital" concept which directly follows from the very term "intellectual capital", which has many interpretations and is deeply analyzed in other works of the authors (Maltseva, Monakhov, Klyushnikova, 2015); and it also takes into account the specifics of the meso- or macrolevel system.

The key characteristics of the definitions of intellectual capital, which together provide a comprehensive understanding of its essence are highlighted below:

• it has intangible nature (Matos and Vairinhos, 2015; Andriessen and Stam, 2004);

• it refers to spatial region's formations allocated according to the administrative-territorial



character (Chub and Makarov, 2015), as well as the structural components of the region and individual subjects (individuals);

• it characterized by different levels (individual, group, sectoral, region-wide, etc.) (Serdyukova, 2013);

• it is an attribute of region's various social and economic systems, which may have different objectives of functioning and specific features (Lubacha-Sember, 2016);

• it is appeared, among other things, in the process of interaction of social and economic systems or region's subjects with each other, providing a synergy effect (Lubacha-Sember, 2016);

• it is a regional resource that is characterized by self-increasing value (Serdyukova, 2013);

• it is the region's potential to create values, transforming tangible and intangible values into wealth (Kireeva, 2015, Serdyukova, 2013; Bontis, 2004; Antoljak, 2014);

• it has both current and prospective value (Bontis, 2004, Malhotra, 2000);

• consists of individual components that are defined in accordance with classical approaches (for example, structural, human and client capital, knowledge, experience, competencies, etc.) or supplemented by specific types (eg. ecological, tourist capital, etc.) (Serdyukova, 2013);

it provides a region with additional competitive advantages, investment attractiveness, economic growth, contributes to the improvement of population's life quality, the production of new material goods and the generation of income (Serdyukova, 2013; Andriessen and Stam, 2004);
it represents the identity of the region, in each case it has specific features (Antoljak, 2014).

As the authors believe, it seems appropriate, based on the most significant aspects of the above definitions, to formulate the definition of the region's intellectual capital, ensuring its clear identification and determining the current and potential result of its influence on the regional social and economic system as a whole.

Region's intellectual capital is a set of intangible resources which the carriers are separate regional social and economic systems, structures and individuals that has, along with physical capital, a direct or indirect influence on the achievement of current and promising results by the socio-economic system of the region as a whole. The need to determine the structure of a region's intellectual capital is due to the high heterogeneity of its elements. Approaches to the classification of intellectual capital types at the organization level are widely presented in the literature (a detailed analysis was carried out in authors' other works (Maltseva, Monakhov, Klyushnikova, 2015), which are the basis for identifying the structural components of a region's intellectual capital.

The analysis results demonstrate the fact that most authors took T. Stewart's classical approach as a basis and include the human, structural and consumer capital (capital of relations) in the classification of intellectual capital types (Chub and Makarov, 2015; Khuzina, 2016; Monakhov et al., 2016; Liu Chao et al., 2015; Bronisz et al., 2012; Lubacha-Sember, 2016; Minasov, 2012).

Other authors interpret it with a very close structure, described in the works of Scandia Navigator: intellectual capital includes human and structural capital, which in turn is subdivided into client and organizational capital, consisting of innovative and process capital (Alexandrov and Fedorova, 2017; Kireeva, 2015).

The classification of intellectual capital with respect to regional specifics in the works of Edvinsson and Yeh-Yun Lin C. (2011) was refined. Structural capital is a combination of technological, commercial, marketing, research and innovation, social and environmental capital.

In a number of cases, classical classifications of intellectual capital types are supplemented by its specific types, the expediency of singling out them is determined by the specifics of the region as an object of investigation. Thus, individual authors singled out market capital (Lisichenok, 2004; Sanchez Medina et al., 2007; Bontis, 2004), social capital (Lisichenok, 2004; Kireeva, 2015), development capital (renewal capital) (Lubacha-Sember, 2016; Bontis, 2004; Sanchez Medina et al., 2007), the capital of intellectual property (Monakhov et al, 2016).

We should mention separately the classification of intellectual capital types proposed by Sanchez Medina et al. (2007). The authors identify specific types of intellectual capital, tourism capital, economic capital, social, environmental capital, state capital, the capital of education and development, the capital of result which are corresponding to the structural elements of a region's social and economic system.

The above classification, as well as the more refined Edvinsson's classification, does not fully ensure the study of intellectual capital as an integral phenomenon, since in it, along with the system-wide types of intellectual capital (the capital of learning and development, the capital of result), there are types defined on the basis of responsibility centers (economic activity by types, tourism, public administration). For the purposes of the research it seems appropriate to identify the types of a region's intellectual capital depending on their essential characteristics, as well as to identify the responsibility centers - the elements of a region's socio-economic system that are the most significant for further analysis. As a basic classification, it is proposed to use the

group of intellectual capital's types developed in the authors' works (Maltseva, Monakhov, Klyushnikova, 2015). The classification of the region's intellectual capital proposed by the authors in accordance with the essential characteristics is below (Table 1).

Group of intellectual capital	Content
Human capital	
Knowledge Capital	formalized and non formalized knowledge of a region's inhabitants, related to both professional and everyday life (life experience)
Capital of competences	practical skills, abilities, creative abilities, qualification of a region's inhabitants, related to both professional and everyday life
Capital of professional experience	experience in the professional sphere of a region's inhabitants, ability to effectively use available resources (knowledge, time, financial, material, etc.)
Capital of professional and personal reputation	professional and personal reputation of a region's inhabitants both in the internal and external environment
Health capital	the accumulated potential of a region's inhabitants as a result of investments necessary for the formation, maintenance and improvement of their health and working capacity
Reputational capital	
Image capital	existing in the external and internal environment opinion on various aspects of a region's activity as a whole, as well as enterprises, organizations on its territory, business reputation, investment attractiveness
Customer capital	well-established connections in the external and internal environment of enterprises, organizations of the region and the territory as a whole, regular business and social and cultural contacts
Brand capital	existing opinion about the results of a region's activities (products, services, etc.) in the external and internal environment and the readiness for their consumption (use); companies' well-known brand in a region
Infrastructure capital	
Capital of a regional environment	regional policy, ideology, fashion, the system of formal and informal relations among residents, motivation for action, regional initiatives, culture, norms
Capital of a regional management system	regional system of current and strategic planning, decision-making, analysis results, socio-economic policy, formed and supported intra- regional links between individual economic subjects and individuals, effective norm-setting and law enforcement practice
Capital of a regional infrastructure	formed education and health systems, logistics, innovation, information systems in the region that promote the development of various types of intellectual capital

Table 1. Classification of region intellectual capital's types



Innovativ	e capi	ital	
Capital	of	intellectual	formalized innovation and technological solutions, technologies that
property			additional effect
Capital of	ideas a	and projects	non formalized and formalized innovative, technical, social projects that can be implemented on a region's territory and can create prerequisites for rapid growth

Literature analysis made it possible to single out publications on the issues of mutual influence of the intellectual capital of territories and universities located within their boundaries, which for the most part has a framework nature. So, Avilova (2013) in her work concentrates more on the universities role in the development of the human potential of the country and the region.

Serdyukova (2011) also mainly considers the role of university education as a determining factor in the formation and development of intellectual capital in the region. She points out the need in this regard for the development of the university's intellectual capital, which is conveying to the region in the process of training.

Bojaeva et al. (2015) consider the university's role in the region and in the formation of its intellectual capital is much broader and argue that it should not be determined only by the training of specialists, be the basis of scientific and technical and socio-economic development of the territory, the foundation, the connecting thread between generations, the keeper of historically accumulated ideas, developments, projects and their active generator at a new historical stage of society's development.

Golubkin and Svetlov (2011) substantiates the universities' importance in the formation of intellectual capital at the regional level, by defragmenting it into separate components. The development of human capital of the subjects of the regional economy is ensured in the process of training personnel in universities, the development of organizational capital is ensured through innovative technologies created in universities. The authors propose to pay special attention to technological networks, which represent a set of economic entities interacting with each other at all stages of the innovation process.

We should separately note the work by Kirschin et al. (2010) where the authors formalized the task of assessing the intellectual capital of a country, region and universities on the basis of the index of intellectual potential development. For this goal the system of indicators of educational and scientific potentials was singled out, the corresponding calculations were made and a system of measures, aimed at the development of universities, contributing, among other things, to the active growth of the region's intellectual capital was developed.

In foreign literature, the universities' role in the development of a territory of their locations is widely covered in the works by Benneworth et al. (2010).

Thus, in the work "Building Localized Interactions Between Universities and Cities Through University Spatial Development " (Benneworth et al., 2010), it is shown that universities are important participants in the global development of the knowledge economy, and they make a significant contribution to the economic development of cities of their localization, they are both centers of concentration and generation of knowledge, and suppliers of human and intellectual capital on which the knowledge economy depends.

The formalization of the university's role in the development of primarily entrepreneurial activities in the region is presented in the work by Trequattrini et.al (2018). The authors primarily focus on the problem of territories' innovative development and view universities as a significant platform for the commercialization of R&D created on their platform. University of entrepreneurial type is able to create a region's entrepreneurial culture, which is characterized by such indicators as incubation of spin-off companies, provision of professional services, entrepreneurship training. The authors state the necessity of regional investments in the universities development, which can become the basis for outstripping growth of the intellectual capital of the territory as a whole.

Obviously, that as in the case with the analysis of publications on region's intellectual capital, in some cases there is a substitution of concepts. The authors interpret intellectual capital from the positions of the achieved results, while it represents the potential, the possibilities of university's influence on the region and vice versa. Also noteworthy is the insufficient formalization and structuring of the directions of the mutual influence under consideration, including, in the majority, generalizing formulations.

Thus, there is an active study of the problems of the intellectual capital of the region and universities, as well as their interrelations in literature. At the same time, the works are has occasional non-systemic nature, there are no formalized studies that would ensure establishing the degree of interrelations between the indicators on a sufficient level of research of statistical data.

Hypotheses and methods of research

Within research's framework the task was to determine the influence of universities' intellectual capital on the aggregate indicator of the territory of their localization. The study included establishing the degree of correlation between the indicators of the intellectual capital of universities and the region.

For the purposes of the study, the following hypotheses were formulated:

HI – Universities' intellectual capital influence region's intellectual capital

H2 - Universities' human capital influence region's intellectual capital

H3 - Universities' reputational capital influence region's intellectual capital

H4 - Universities' intellectual capital influence region's human capital

H5 - Universities' intellectual capital influence region's reputational capital

H6 - Universities' intellectual capital influence region's infrastructure capital

H7 - Universities' intellectual capital influence region's intellectual property capital

Correlation analysis was used as the basic method.

Information sources that determine, among other things, the choice of indicators for evaluating the region's intellectual capital are Federal Service of State Statistics' data, as well as official regional ratings, including expert estimates of region's certain characteristics. The Federal system for monitoring the performance of scientific organizations performing research, development and technological work (FSMSO) and Information and Analytical Materials on the results of monitoring the effectiveness of educational institutions of higher education were used as information sources for analyzing the university's intellectual capital.

Original values were normalized using method of dividing by the maximum value. For each group of indicators that characterize the group of intellectual capital the coefficient of internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) was calculated separately for each year.

For four enlarged groups of region's intellectual capital and three enlarged groups of university's intellectual capital we calculated subindexes using the distance method and integral indexes as a product of subindexes.

Obtained results made it possible to verify the hypotheses formulated in the framework of the study. The hypotheses were tested by calculating the Pearson's correlation coefficient between the corresponding indexes of intellectual capital.

Results of the study

Various methodical methods that involve the transformation of basic indicators to ensure comparability of results (standardization, standardization, maximin method) and calculation of the integral indicator, using average indicators (arithmetic or geometric), taking into account the specific weights (if available) can be used to assess the region's intellectual capital.

For the purposes of statistical estimation of intellectual capital, it is proposed in this paper to use a system of indicators constructed in accordance with the structural classification of intellectual capital defined above and allowing the most accurate determination of available intellectual capital.

Data from the collected books of Federal Service of State Statistics, the collected book "The rating of innovative development of the Russian Federation's subjects" by the Higher School of Economics (HSE) (2017), and a number of ratings of the Russian Federation's subjects compiled by the Center for Regional Policy Development



(CRPD) (2017), Association of Russia's Innovative Regions (ARIR) (2018), Rating Agency RIA RATING (RIA) (2016, 2017), Rating Agency RAEX (Expert RA) (2017) were selected as indicators for this study. The period under investigation is 2014 and 2015. The used indicators are given in

Table. 2.

The author's approach to the formation of the indicators system for assessing region's intellectual capital is below (Table 2).

Table 2. Indicators of region's intellectual capital			
Type of intellectual capital		Indicators	Source
		Human capital	
	ні	Percent of employed population with higher education	
	H2	Number of students studying under the bachelor's, specialist's, magistracy programs for 10 000 population, people	
	H3	Number of teaching staff engaged in educational activities in accordance with higher education programs per 1000 population, people	Federal
capital	H4	Number of personnel engaged in R&D per 10,000 population, people	Service of State Statistics
	H5	Number of researchers with academic degrees per 1000 population, people	otatiotico
	H6	Graduation from the postgraduate program per 10 000 population, people	
	H7	Graduation from the doctoral program for 10 000 population, people	
	H8	Number of employees who received additional vocational education from the number of workers on payroll of the relevant categories of personnel and age,	
	H9	Number of employees who have undergone vocational training in basic professional education programs from the number of workers on payroll in%	Federal Service of
	H10	Number of employees who received professional education in basic professional educational programs from the number of workers on payroll, %	State Statistics
	нп	Graduation of skilled workers and employees per 10 000	
Capital of	H12	Graduation of mid-level specialists for 10,000 population,	
competences	H13	Share of employed in high-tech and mid-tech branches of industrial production in the total number of employed in the region's economy	
	HI4	Share of employed in knowledge-intensive sectors of the service sector in the total number of employed in the	HSE
	H15	Use of special software in organizations per 100 organizations units	Federal
	H16	Use of electronic document management in organizations per 100 organizations. units	Service of State
	H17	Used advanced production technologies per 100 organizations, units	Statistics

	H18	Share of organizations that carried out technological innovations in the total number of organizations (organizations of industrial production)	
	H19	Share of organizations that carried out non-technological (marketing and / or organizational) innovations, in the total number of organizations (organizations of industrial production)	HSE
	H20	Number of employees who received training in the form of short-term courses, professional trainings, mentoring from the number of workers on payroll, %	Federal Service of State Statistics
Capital of professional experience Capital of	H21	Number of articles published in peer-reviewed journals, indexed in Russian Science Citation Index, per 10 researchers, units	HSE
professional and personal	H22	Rating of governors, point	CRPD
Health Capital	H23	Morbidity per 1000 population, people	Federal Service of State Statistics
		Reputational capital	
	RI	Rating of the Russian Federation's subjects by the value of the Russian regional innovation index, index	HSE
	R2	Rating of innovation regions of Russia, index	ARIR
	R3	Rating of the socio-economic situation of the Russian Federation's subjects, index	RIA
Image capital	R4	Rating of Russian regions for life's quality, index	
	R5	Investment climate of regions, index	Expert RA
	R6	Balance of migration growth of persons with higher professional education, persons	Federal Service of
	R7	Balance of migration growth of doctors of science; candidates of sciences, persons	State Statistics
Customer capital	R8	Share of organizations that participated in joint projects for the implementation of R&D, in the total number of	HSE
	R9	organizations (organizations of industrial production) Share of exports in the total volume of shipped products *	
	R10	Share of exports of technologies and services of a technical nature in total exports *	Federal Service of
	RH	Turnover of retail trade per capita, rubles	State
	R12	Turnover of wholesale trade in the total volume of shipped products *	Statistics
Brand capital	RI3	Share of innovative goods, works, services in the total volume of shipped goods, performed works and services (organizations of industrial production) Share of newly introduced or subjected to significant technological changes of innovative goods, works,	HSE
	RI4	services, new to the market, in the total volume of shipped goods, performed works and services (organizations of industrial production)	
		Infrastructure capital	
	П	Number of spectators of theaters and number of visits to museums per 1000 population, people	Federal Service of



			State
		Statistics
Capital of		Rating of the Russian Federation's subjects by the value	
regional environment	12	of the index "Socio-economic conditions of innovative activity" index	HSE
chillionnene		The labor market index in the regions of the Russian	
	13	Federation, index	RIA
	14	Rating of the Russian Federation's subjects by the value of the index "Quality of innovation policy", index	
		The presence of a strategy (concept) of innovative	
		development (innovation strategy) and / or a profile	
	15	section on innovation development (innovation support)	
		in the development strategy of the region, the presence /	
		absence The presence of the designated zones (territories) of	
		priority development of innovation activity in the scheme	
	16	of territorial planning, as well as in the materials for its	
		justification, the presence / absence	
		The presence of a specialized legislative act that defines	
	17	the basic principles, directions and measures of state	
Capital of		support for innovation activities in the region, the	
regional		The presence of a specialized program or a set of	ПЭЕ
management		measures of state support for the development of	
system	18	innovations, innovation activities or subjects of	
		innovation activity, the presence / absence	
		The presence of specialized coordination (advisory)	
		bodies on innovation policy (support of innovation)	
	19	affiliated to the highest official or the highest executive	
		body of state power of the Russian Federation's subject,	
		the presence / absence	
		The presence of specialized regional development	
	110	etc.) with the functional to support of inpovation subjects	
	110	and / or the implementation of innovative projects, the	
		presence / absence	
	111	Managerial risk (rating), point	Expert RA
	112	Supply of general education organizations *	•
		Supply of professional educational organizations, which	
	113	training qualified workers *	
	114	Supply of professional educational organizations, which	
		training mid-level specialists *	
	115	Supply of organizations, which training postgraduate	
		Supply of organizations which training doctoral students	Federal
Capital of	116		Service of
infrastructure	117	Population per hospital bed, persons	State
	118	The capacity of out-patient polyclinic organizations per	Statistics
		10 000 people, visits per shift	
	119	Number of sports facilities per 10000 population, units	
	120	Library fund per 1000 population, units	
	121	Population coverage by TV and radio broadcasting, $\%$	
	122	The share of trapped and detoxified air pollutants in the total number of waste pollutants from stationary sources	

Encuentre este artículo en http://www.udla.edu.co/revistas/index.php/amazonia-investiga

	123	subscribers per 100 population	
	124	Number of active fixed and mobile broadband Internet subscribers per 100 population	
	125	Intensity of use of information and communication technologies in organizations,%	
	126	Intensity of use of information and communication technologies in organizations,%	
	127	Percentage of organizations that has a website	
		Innovative capital	
Capital of	IPI	Number of issued patents per 1000 population, units	Federal Service of State Statistics
Intellectual Property	IP2	The share of organizations that has ready technological innovations, developed by own strength, in the total number of organizations (organizations of industrial production)	HSE
Capital of ideas	IP3	Number of patent applications per 1000 population, units	Federal Service of State Statistics
and projects	IP4	Rating of the Russian Federation's subjects by the value of the index "Scientific and Technical Potential", index	
	IP5	Rating of the Russian Federation's subjects by the value of the index "innovation activity", index	I ISL

For each group of indicators that characterize the group of intellectual capital, the coefficient of internal consistency of Cronbach's alpha was

123

calculated separately for each year (Table 3), the threshold value of which is set equal to 0.7.

Table 3	Coefficient of indexes'	' internal consistenc	y (Cronbach's alpha)	of the regions'
			/ (/	

The component of intellectual capital	2014	2015
Human capital	0,814	0,777
Reputational capital	0,860	0,852
Infrastructure Capital	0,680	0,750
Capital of intellectual property	0,840	0,860

The obtained values that were above the threshold value are either very close to it (0.680), which allows us to speak about the internal consistency of the indexes of each type of intellectual capital and the appropriateness of studying the selected groups.

All indicators, except indicator ones, were investigated for deviation from the normal distribution. Indicators with a distribution different from normal were normalized according to the formula:

$\tilde{x} = \sqrt[a]{x}$,

where x is the initial value of the indicator, \tilde{x} is the normalized value of the indicator, a is the degree of transformation (determined experimentally from 2 to 4 in such a way that the coefficient of asymmetry is less than 0.5). The coefficients of asymmetry and used degrees of transformation are shown in Table. 4.



Indiantan	Asymmetr	-	
Indicator	2014	2015	a
H4	0,45	0,49	3
H5	0,44	0,49	3
H6	0,26	0,16	2
H7	0,25	0,48	2
H8	-0,24	0,46	3
H10	0,22	0,21	2
HII	0,49	0,40	3
H14	0,19	0,12	2
HI7	-0,44	0,27	3
H22	0,36	0,19	3
H23	0,34	0,37	2
R9	-0,08	0,06	3
R10	0,47	0,49	4
R12	0,32	0,48	3
П	-0,48	-0,49	2
113	0,32	0,32	2
114	0,48	0,47	3
115	0,46	0,44	2
116	0,21	0,21	2
117	0,47	0,43	2
118	0,06	0,17	2
119	0,12	0,12	2
120	-0,26	-0,36	2
IPI	0,46	0,31	2
IP3	-0,48	-0,27	3

Table 4. The coefficients of asymmetry of the initial data and the selected degree of transformation

All initial values were normalized for reduction to a single scale:

$$x' = \frac{\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}_{\min}}{\tilde{x}_{\max} - \tilde{x}_{\min}},$$

where \tilde{x} is the normalized value of the indicator, \tilde{x}_{\min} is the minimum value of the indicator, \tilde{x}_{\max} is the maximum value of the indicator. Indicators H23 ("Morbidity per 1000 population") and III ("Management risk (rating)") after the normalization were inverted as 1 - x', because they negatively affect the final result.

For the four components of intellectual capital, subindexes were calculated using the distance method (Table 5):

$$I_{\rm K}=\sqrt{\sum(1-x'_i)^2}.$$

For the distance method, the smallest value of the index is characterizes the greater significance.

The final index of the regions' intellectual capital is in the Table 5, and it was obtained by the product of the components:

 $I = I_{HC} \cdot I_{RC} \cdot I_{IF} \cdot I_{IP},$

where I_{HC} is the index of human capital, I_{RC} is the index of reputational capital, I_{IF} is the index of financial capital, and I_{IF} is the index of capital of intellectual property.

_	2014		2015	
Region	score	rank	score	rank
Moscow	6,167	2	4,300	I
Republic of Tatarstan	6,792	3	5,950	2
Saint Petersburg	4,934	I	6,455	3
Tomsk region	13,091	4	11,680	4
Republic of Bashkortostan	15,260	7	13,461	5
Nizhny Novgorod region	14,160	5	13,742	6
Voronezh region	17,452	П	13,947	7
Kaluga region	16,728	9	14,195	8
Sverdlovsk region	14,701	6	14,792	9
Novosibirsk region	17,185	10	14,997	10
Chuvash Republic	18,036	13	15,684	11
Khabarovsk region	16,070	8	16,155	12
Krasnoyarsk region	19,435	17	17,128	13
Lipetsk region	18,937	15	17,368	14
Yaroslavl region	17,673	12	17,504	15
Chelyabinsk region	19,445	18	18,244	16
Republic of Mordovia	20,139	19	18,262	17
Perm region	19,197	16	18,664	18
Rostov region	21,167	21	18,893	19
Moscow region	20,644	20	19,282	20
Tyumen region	23,605	25	19,957	21
Penza region	18,876	14	20,056	22
Kursk region	21,881	22	20,573	23
Belgorod region	23,289	23	20,797	24
Tula region	24,566	28	21,594	25
Ulyanovsk region	23,912	26	21,665	26
Samara Region	24,346	27	22,678	27
Ryazan Oblast	27,293	32	23,753	28
Tambov Region	25,070	29	24,005	29
Vladimir region	23,540	24	24,738	30
Krasnodar region	28,526	34	26,002	31
Stavropol region	28,106	33	26,986	32
Mari El Republic	30,446	41	27,064	33
Saratov region	26,999	30	27,452	34
Murmansk region	29,906	36	27,575	35
Altai region	31,403	44	28,822	36
Omsk Region	27,092	31	29,191	37
Ivanovo region	32,627	49	29,489	38
Republic of Sakha (Yakutia)	30,395	40	29,810	39
Kirov region	30,102	38	30,045	40
Irkutsk region	28,766	35	30,252	41
Oryol Region	32,458	48	30,370	42
Vologda Region	34,888	53	30,439	43
Bryansk region	37,930	56	30,460	44

Table 5. Index of regions' intellectual capital (sorting by 2015).

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76 77

78

79

80

81

82

83

56,571

59,550

66.397

68.748

69,210

69,262

77.949

80.028

89,597

103,512

107,373



52,980 72 Republic of North Ossetia-Alania Kabardino-Balkaria Republic 49,732 69 61.722 75 Republic of Dagestan Republic of Kalmykia 72,416 76 Karachay-Cherkess Republic 77,745 78 Tyva Republic 76,016 77 89.732 80 Jewish Autonomous Region 89.242 79 Chukotka Autonomous District Chechen Republic 90.481 81 98.853 82 Nenets Autonomous District 115,302 83 Republic of Ingushetia The presented data show the leading positions of

the Moscow, St. Petersburg, Republics of Tatarstan and Bashkortostan, Tomsk and Nizhny Novgorod regions on the integral value of intellectual capital. Fluctuations in the values over periods are evident. It is worth noting the gap between the values of the integrated indicators of the top-10 regions: the first three regions has

very low scores (this corresponds to the ranking rule).

The presented assessment of the regions allows us to see the place of each of them in the rating and to evaluate the competitive advantages of the subjects having higher positions for the purpose of benchmarking. At the same time, the strengths and weaknesses themselves can be

studied in terms of specific types of intellectual capital and indicators that characterize them, which provides a detailed analysis.

The following system of indicators was used to assess the universities' intellectual capital (Table 6).

Type of intellectual capital		Indicators	Source
•		Human capital	
	ні	Number of organization's publications indexed in WoS	
Knowledge Capital	H2	Number of organization's publications indexed in Scopus	FSMSO
	H3	Total number of scientific, design and technological products	
	H4	Number of grants received for the reporting year	
Capital of professional experience	H5	, Number of academic staff who defended candidate and doctoral dissertations for the reporting period in the total number of academic staff	Monitoring
	H6	Number of candidates of sciences	
Capital of	H7	Number of doctors of sciences	
professional and	H8	Total citedness of organization's papers indexed in WoS	FSMSO
personal reputation	H9	Total citedness of organization's papers indexed in Scopus	
		Reputational capital	
	RI	The number of researchers sent to working in leading Russian and international scientific and scientific-educational organizations	
	R2	Number of scientific conferences with international participation held by the organization	FSMSO
	R3	Number of popular scientific publications performed by organization's employees The number of leading foreign professors.	
Image capital	R4	teachers and researchers working in an educational organization for at least 1 semester	
	R5	Number of enterprises with which agreements for training specialists have been concluded Number of enterprises that are the bases for	Monitoring
	R6	practice with which contractual arrangements have been concluded	
	R7	Number of papers prepared jointly with foreign organizations	FSMSO
	R8	Total impact factor of journals where the organization's papers were published	FSMSO
Brand capital	R9	Specific weight of graduates who have found employment in the calendar year following the year of graduation, in the total number of graduates of the educational organization who have studied the main educational programs of higher education	Monitoring
	RI 0	National rating of universities (overall rating), score (the highest place from all universities in the region)	

Table 6. Indicators of universities' intellectual capital

	RI I	Availability of universities with special status (federal, regional basic, national research universities, 5-100 universities) 1 point for availability Capital of Intellectual Property	
Capital of intellectual property	IPI	Commercialization of patents, obtained by the formula 0.2 * "Number of created results of intellectual activity" + 0.5 * "Number of created results of intellectual activity that has legal protection outside of Russia"	FSMSO

For each group of indicators that characterize the group of intellectual capital the coefficient of internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) was calculated separately for each year (Table. 7). The coefficient for capital of intellectual property was not calculated, since this group consists of only one indicator.

Table 7. Coefficient of internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha)

Intellectual capital component	2014	2015
Human capital	0,760	0,740
Reputational capital	0,800	0,780
Capital of Intellectual Property	-	-

The obtained values are above the threshold value (0.7), which allows us to speak about the internal consistency of the indicators.

Since most of the indicators are presented in absolute values that has a very wide spread, they were previously logarithmation.

For the three components of universities' intellectual capital, an index was calculated using the distance method

The final index of region universities' intellectual capital was obtained by the product of components:

 $I = I_{HC} \cdot I_{RC} \cdot I_{IP},$

where I_{HC} is the index of human capital, I_{RC} is the index of reputational capital, and I_{IP} is the index of capital of intellectual property.

Table. 8 shows the final values of the index of universities' intellectual capital, which are ranked by increasing its value (in view of the fact that as a result of data processing by distance method, indicators with the highest value of intellectual capital has the lowest value of the integral indicator)

Table 8. Index of universities	' intellectual capital
--------------------------------	------------------------

Parian	2014		2015	
Region	score	rank	score	rank
Moscow	0,000	I	0,000	I
Saint Petersburg	0,026	2	0,030	2
Tomsk Region	0,087	3	0,089	3
Republic of Tatarstan	0,114	4	0,131	4
Novosibirsk region	0,184	6	0,163	5
Rostov region	0,169	5	0,222	6
Sverdlovsk region	0,308	10	0,253	7
Republic of Bashkortostan	0,336	11	0,283	8
Samara Region	0,269	9	0,283	9

- Deleaned version	0 427	17	0.200	10
Nishay Newsayad Pasian	0,427	17	0,300	10
	0,390	10	0,330	11
Chelvebingk region	0,233	/	0,302	12
	0,370	14	0,410	13
Saratov region	0,499	17	0,450	14
voronezh region	0,263	8	0,457	15
Volgograd region	0,362	13	0,460	16
Krasnodar region	0,346	12	0,465	17
Irkutsk region	0,377	15	0,515	18
Altai region	0,629	23	0,578	19
Kursk region	0,787	24	0,607	20
Perm region	0,493	18	0,654	21
Stavropol region	0,792	25	0,771	22
Tyumen region	0,555	21	0,817	23
Republic of Dagestan	0,898	28	0,830	24
Omsk region	0,587	22	0,849	25
Kemerovo region	0,540	20	0,887	26
Republic of Sakha (Yakutia)	1,421	35	0,927	27
Ulyanovsk region	0,839	27	0,955	28
Ivanovo region	0,931	29	0,985	29
Kaliningrad region	1,351	33	1,112	30
Vladimir region	0,817	26	1,132	31
Yaroslavl region	0,952	30	1,172	32
Khabarovsk region	1,085	31	1,203	33
Tver region	1,354	34	I,287	34
Republic of Karelia	1,696	45	1,336	35
Udmurt republic	1,602	41	1,351	36
Republic of Buryatia	2,196	54	1,374	37
Mari El Republic	1,097	32	1,378	38
Orenburg region	1,663	43	1,402	39
Tambov region	1,624	42	1,418	40
Bryansk region	1,680	44	1,497	41
Republic of Mordovia	2,157	53	1,554	42
Tula region	1,718	46	1,570	43
Lipetsk region	1,587	40	1,590	44
Astrakhan region	1,544	37	1,623	45
Primorye region	1,551	38	1,652	46
Arhangelsk region	1,425	36	1,670	47
Kabardino-Balkaria Republic	1,555	39	1,743	48
Oryol region	3,040	66	1,764	49
Ryazan region	2,474	59	1,785	50
Novgorod region	2,096	52	1,958	51
Kirov region	2,435	57	2,143	52
Republic of North Ossetia-Alania	2,284	55	2,262	53
Khanty-Mansi Autonomous Area - Yugra	2,567	60	2,330	54

56

57

58

59

2,354

2,401

2.472

2,538

2,675



Vologda region	1,919	47	2,811	60	
Kostroma region	2,749	63	2,838	61	
Penza region	2,044	51	2,907	62	
Republic of Adygea	3,233	67	3,060	63	
Zabaikalye Territory	2,570	61	3,179	64	
Republic of Kalmykia	3,029	65	3,183	65	
Murmansk region	2,920	64	3,280	66	
Pskov region	2,455	58	3,656	67	
Republic of Khakassia	3,775	69	4,331	68	
Smolensk region	6,078	76	4,481	69	
Kaluga region	5,490	73	4,696	70	
Kurgan region	3,732	68	4,712	71	
Altai Republic	5,738	75	4,820	72	
Republic of Ingushetia	4,407	71	5,274	73	
Jewish Autonomous Region	4,029	70	5,416	74	
Tyva Republic	5,227	72	5,951	75	
Karachay-Cherkess Republic	7,673	78	6,299	76	
Kamchatka region	6,628	77	6,708	77	
Sakhalin region	5,601	74	7,085	78	

The table data allow us to allocate regions, universities' intellectual capital which is the highest. These include Moscow, St. Petersburg, Republics of Tatarstan, Bashkortostan, Tomsk, Novosibirsk. Rostov, Sverdlovsk, Samara regions. It is noted that the values of integral indicators of the leading regions are rather close, which indicates adequate comparability of the value of universities' intellectual capital in each region. As already indicated, the value of intellectual capital estimated in the analysis is measured in absolute values, i.e. leading regions demonstrate the comparability of the intellectual capital concentrated on their territory without assessing the influence of the territory factor, population size, universities, etc. It is obvious that the largest number of universities is concentrated in the territory of the Moscow and St. Petersburg, while the indicators of the regions

> included in the top-10, are comparable with the leaders, i.e. apparently the level of development intensity of intellectual capital of these universities is on average higher than of the capital ones. All this is due to the objective need to find ways to increase the competitiveness of universities and spreading of their influence on the location region.

> Expectedly low indicators of regions in the closing dozen are also associated with a low number of universities concentrated on their territories and with a low quality of their intellectual capital.

> The hypotheses were tested by calculating the Pearson's correlation coefficient between the corresponding indexes of intellectual capital (Table 9).

Significance							
	2014			2015			
Hypothesis	Correlation coefficient	t- criterion	Ρ	Correlation coefficient	t-criterion	Ρ	
HI	0,563	5,993	6,23E-08	0,599	6,727	2,26E-09	
H2	0,621	6,979	9,13E-10	0,661	7,936	1,03E-11	
H3	0,620	6,955	1,01E-09	0,647	7,627	4,05E-11	
H4	0,528	5,462	5,56E-07	0,634	7,376	1,26E-10	
H5	0,530	5,482	5,13E-07	0,502	5,219	I,36E-06	
H6	0,361	3,439	9,46E-04	0,419	4,152	8,10E-05	
H7	0,600	6,577	5,20E-09	0,575	6,33 I	I,28E-08	

 Table 9. Correlation coefficients of intellectual capital of the university and the region and their significance

As we can be seen from Table. 9, the connection between the indexes characterizing the hypothesis is average, the significance is high.

As the data of the table show, the connection between the indexes characterizing the hypothesis is average (interval 0.3-0.7), the significance is high.

The values of the correlation coefficients for the two studied periods for the H2, H3, and H4 hypotheses are close to the limiting value 0.7, which corresponds to a high correlation level. That allows us to conclude that the degree of influence of universities' human capital on region's intellectual capital is higher than the average; universities' reputational capital influence region's intellectual capital; universities' intellectual capital in general influence the region's human capital.

Conclusions

The results listed above allow us to draw conclusions about essential role of universities for regional development.

Higher indicators of influence of universities' human capital on the region's intellectual capital are interpreted in connection with the implementation of the main mission of universities for the regions - training and knowledge transfer. All this forms the region's additional intellectual capital, which is used for the purposes of socio-economic development.

Universities' reputational capital is a source for attracting additional client capital to the region, as well as its positioning as a territory with a high scientific and educational potential. Region' human capital is formed under the influence of the universities' cumulative intellectual capital, which is expressed in the conveying of knowledge, creation of R&D, development of entrepreneurial activity, etc. All this ensures the transformation of available human capital into a regional asset of new quality.

Thus, in the process of research we found out that universities' intellectual capital as a whole and its individual elements create the basis for the development of the region's intellectual capital as an asset for their future growth.

Acknowledgements

The paper is the result of the research funded by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation within the research project «Scientific and methodological basis for the creation of competence centers on the platform of regional universities on the basis of the concept of intellectual capital» implemented by Tver State University.

References

Alexandrov, I.N., & Fedorova, M.Y. (2017). Evaluation of the intellectual capital of regions and territories. Proceedings of scientific and educational-practical conference Strategic management of organizations: modern technologies, Peter the Great St. Petersburg Polytechnic University, St. Petersburg.

Andriessen, D.G. & Stam, C.D. (2004). The Intellectual Capital of the European Union. Measuring the Lisbon Agenda. [onlain], https://www.bvekennis.nl/Bibliotheek/05-

0048_Measuring_Lisbon_agenda.pdf (Accessed 11.07.2018).

Antoljak, V. (2014). Intelektualni kapital trebao je biti podloga za industrijku strategiju', [onlain], https://liderpress.hr/tvrtke-i-trzista/poslovna-



scena/intelektualni-kapital-trebao-je-bitipodloga-za-industrijku-strategiju/ (Accessed 11.07.2018).

Avilova, Z.N. (2013). Management of intellectual potential in the region's innovative environment. Reproduction of intellectual capital in the system of higher vocational education. Proceedings of All-Russian scientific and practical Conference with international participation, Belgorod.

Benneworth, P., Charles, D., & Madanipour, A. (2010). Building Localized Interactions Between Universities and Cities Through University Spatial Development. European Planning Studies, 18(10), 1611-1629.

doi: 10.1080/09654313.2010.5043451

Bojaeva, V.V., Slobodchikova, I.V., & Nimgirov, A.G. (2015). The university as the center of formation and development of territory's intellectual capital. Business. Education. Law. Bulletin of the Volgograd Business Institute, 4(33), 63-66.

Bontis N. (2004). National Intellectual Capital Index: a United Nations initiative for the Arab region. Journal of Intellectual Capital, 5, 13–39.

Bronisz, U., Heijman, W., & van Ophem, J. (2012) 'The assessment of intellectual capital in polish regions. Applied Studies in Agribusiness and Commerce, 6, 101-105.

Chub, A.A., & Makarov, P.Y. (2015). Intellectual capital as a factor of sustainable development of Russian regions. Business strategies, 6(15), 24-32.

Edvinsson L. & Yeh-Yun Lin C. (2011). National intellectual capital: comparison of 40 countries. New-York, Springer, 392 p.

Golubkin, I.V., & Svetlov, I.E. (2011). The role universities' intellectual capital in the formation of an innovative economy. Statistics and economics, 5, 9-13.

Khuzina, N.Sh. (2016). Structure of region's intellectual capital. Intelligence. Innovation. Investments, 5, 52-57.

Kireeva, V.V. (2015). Evaluation of intellectual capital as a factor of region's development. The Free Economic Society of Russia, 2(191), 239-254.

Kirschin, I.A., Vashurina, E.V., & Ovchinnikov, M.N. (2010). The role of federal universities in developing and implementing the intellectual potential of the country and the region. Educational technologies and society, 13(36), 456-470.

Lisichenok, E.P. (2004). Determination of the structure of region's intellectual capital on the basis of its research at the enterprise level. Bulletin of Sukhoi State Technical University Of Gomel, 15, 62-68.

Liu Chao, Li Xiao & Xu Lingyu (2015). The Influence of Regional Intellectual Capital on Regional Economic Development-Evidence from China. International Journal of u- and e- Service, Science and Technology, 8(6), 91-104.

Lubacha-Sember, J. (2016). Intellectual Capital of the European Union Regions, on example of the Visegrad Countries regions. In: Building Bridges: Cities and Regions in a Transnational World RSA Annual Conference. Graz, Austria.

Malhotra Y. (2000). Knowledge assets in the global economy: assessment of national intellectual capital. Journal of Global Information Management, 8, 5–15.

Maltseva, A.A., Monakhov, I.A., & Klyushnikova, E.V. (2015). Management of Intellectual Capital of Technology park Structures: Theoretical and Methodological Foundations. Ed. by A.A. Maltseva, Tver, Tver state University, 206 p.

Matos, F. & Vairinhos V. (2015). Mapping the intellectual capital of cities and regions. [onlain], https://comum.rcaap.pt/bitstream/10400.26/186 38/1/Valter%20Vairinhos_15_Mapping%20the %20Intellectual%20Capital%20of%20Cities% 20and%20Regions.pdf (Accessed 11.07.2018).

Minasov, M. (2012). Region's intellectual potential is the most important factor of sustainable development. Economics and Management: Scientific and Practical Journal, 4, 29-36.

Monakhov, I.A., Barsukova, N.E., & Klyushnikova, E.V. (2016). Methodology and practice of managing the development of science cities of the Russian Federation at the present stage. monograph, ed. by A.A. Maltseva. Tver, Tver State University.

Sanchez Medina A.J., Gonzalez A. M., & Garcia Falcon, J.M. (2007). Intellectual Capital and Sustainable Development on Islands: An Application to the Case of Gran Canaria. Regional Studies, 41(4), 473-483.

Serdyukova, L.O. (2013). Formation, development and use of intellectual capital in the innovation system. Author's diss. Abstract of Doctor. of Econ. Sciences, Saratov [onlain], http://www.sstu.ru/upload/iblock/e92/s_161013 .pdf (Accessed 11.07.2018).

Serdyukova, L.O. (2011). The role of universities in the management of intellectual capital in regional innovation systems. Innovative activity, 2(15).

Trequattrini, R., Lombardi, R., Lardo, A., & Cuozzo, B. (2018). The Impact of Entrepreneurial Universities on Regional Growth: a Local Intellectual Capital Perspective. Journal of the Knowledge Economy, 9(1), 199-211.

Websites

Strategy of scientific and technological development of the Russian Federation, [onlain], http://www.consultant.ru/law/hotdocs/48053.ht ml/ (Accessed 11.07.2018).

The rating of innovation development of the Russian Federation's subjects. Higher School of Economics, [onlain],

https://www.hse.ru/data/2017/06/22/11702637 11/RIR2017.pdf (Accessed 11.07.2018).

The rating of Center for Regional Policy Development, [onlain],

http://www.profile.ru/politika/item/116527-

rejting-gubernatorov-rossii (Accessed 11.07.2018).

The rating of innovative regions of Russia, [onlain], http://i-

regions.org/images/files/airr17.pdf (Accessed 11.07.2018).

The rating of Russian regions for life's quality - 2016, [onlain],

http://riarating.ru/infografika/20170220/6300560 99.html (Accessed 11.07.2018).

he rating of regions on adherence of the population to a healthy lifestyle - 2017, [onlain], http://riarating.ru/infografika/20170921/6300733 05.html (Accessed 11.07.2018).

Investment climate of the regions - 2017, [onlain],

https://raexpert.ru/ratings/regions/2017/att1 (Accessed 11.07.2018).