Gender study of the student youth’s psychological well-being during coronavirus lockdown: a comparative analysis
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Abstract

Research conduction and substantiation of gender semantic parameters of psychological well-being of students (n = 101) during coronavirus self-isolation using empirical and theoretical methods. The findings revealed that student youth experience anxiety and depressive symptoms (t = -2.2; p =.05). Boys were discovered to have cyclothymic, abrupt binary mood swings (t = 2.4; p =.05). Respondents tend to focus on the various activities available in a lockdown setting to protect their mental state. It was stated that the proclivity to displace anxious thoughts is a distinct feature of Group 1 (boys, n = 49). It is noted that future attempts to “escape” from the current situation may result in depression in Group 1 respondents (t = -2.2; p =.05). Group 2 (girls, n = 52) has been shown to be psychologically more vulnerable to the effects of forced lockdown and the increase in morbidity due to social distance and isolation, uncertainty about the future, and hypochondriac tendencies. It is argued that Group 1 respondents are

Anotacija

Проведено дослідження та обґрунтування за допомогою емпірико-теоретичних методів гендерних змістових параметрів психологічного благополуччя студентської молоді впродовж коронавірусної самоізоляції (n = 101). Встановлено, що студентська молодь потерпає від тривожності та депресивних симптомів (t=-2.2; p=.05). З’ясовано, що для хлопців характерна циклотимність, різка бінарна зміні настрою (t=2.4; p=.05). Зараз захисту психічного стану респонденти прагнуть скасуватися на різних видах діяльності, що доступні в умовах локації. Констатовано, що тенденція до витіснення тривожних думок яскраво виражена в Групі 1 (хлопці, n=49). Зазначено, що спроби “втекти” від наявної ситуації у перспективі можуть призвести до розвитку депресії у респондентів Група 1 (t=-2.2; p=.05). Доведено, що в Групі 2 (дівчата, n=52) психологічно більш вразливі до впливу вимушеного локації та росту
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psychologically vulnerable to the coronavirus situation because they have feelings about the future, but personal alienation, secrecy, and the ability to abstract mitigate the self-isolation’s negative effects on their psychological well-being.

**Key words:** anxiety, depression, mental health, gender, students.

**Introduction**

Coronavirus is a catastrophic global epidemic that directly affects all of us. The outbreak and spread of COVID-19 have affected health in general, as well as psychological well-being (Fiorillo & Frangou, 2020). The virus outbreak is still spreading, and infections and deaths are being recorded on a daily basis. There is a study that identified the semantic parameters of spatial self-regulation throughout the progression of the global pandemic (Khmiliar et al., 2020).

Based on a review of current data, Brooks et al. (2020) concluded that quarantine is adversely related to a variety of psychosocial factors, such as post-traumatic stress symptoms and anxiety. Numerous studies have been conducted to examine the effect of the coronavirus pandemic on the mental health of different demographics or the broader population. For example, among health professionals, women, children, and youth (Cielo, Ulberg & Di Giacomo, 2021; Rossi et al, 2020; Kang et al, 2020; Merlo et al, 2021; Ranieri et al, 2021; Wilczyńska et al, 2021; Zhou, 2020; Fegert et al, 2020; Ravens-Sieberer et al, 2021). Most researches focus at anxiety, mental disorders, and depression to see how they affect psychological well-being. As a result, studies have found that as the coronavirus global epidemic breakthroughs, young people frequently experience anxiety (Parola et al, 2020), mental distress (Khan et al, 2020; Yunus et al, 2020), depression (Mekonen et al, 2021; Padrón et al, 2021), and declining psychological well-being (Idowu et al, 2020). Persons younger than 35 years, women, students, and anyone who is concerned about the safety of a cherished another are among those at high risk of negative consequences (Charles et al., 2021). As a result, gender is the most important factor in deciding whether or not to intervene in public health.

Student youth are an unique demographic with productive lifestyle behaviors centered on personal relations, physical and university activities, travel, as well as social events. Their lives have been drastically altered as a result of forced self-isolation and the suspension of educational institutions (Villani et al., 2021). Students experience more significant disruptions in their lives than other groups in society, including changes in living conditions, employment, and education. Student youth, in general and especially, have expressed concern about regarding the COVID-19 outbreak’s impact on their educational success and opportunity to find work. Based on survey data, researchers Huckins and colleagues (2020) revealed that students seem to be more anxious and depressed during this pandemic than in earlier educational years. Coronavirus’ social isolation and other limitations can result in undesirable psychological conditions such as anxiousness as well as fear, which can have an impact on the psychological well-being of student youth (Ozer, 2020). Mental health deterioration was linked to maladaptive behavior, such as increased telephone use and decreased physical activity. Villani et al. (2021) discovered that students are vulnerable to mental trauma following stressful experiences such as emergency situations. Young people aged 13 to 24 report significantly higher rates of apprehension regarding COVID-19 but also trauma, as well as an expansion in somatic symptoms and a reduction in general well-being (Levita et al., 2020). Prolonged school closures and spending all of one’s time at home can cause anxiety and frustration in youth (Brooks, 2020; Dalton, 2020).

When synchronous virtual education is compared to traditional methods, the scientists claim that
the influence on students’ well-being may be strongly negative to high stress levels and isolation, as well as depressed mood (Besser et al., 2020).

Gender is a significant determinant in identifying the risks associated with COVID-19, which assisted in acknowledging psychosocial stressors (Rana et al., 2021). The psychological well-being of male and female students differs. Subjective changes are more likely to be reported by women. This is consistent with previous evidence that women rate events as more serious than men, possibly due to their ability to express a broader range of more powerful and complex emotions (Pigaiani et al., 2020). Lockdown poses a danger to student youth’s health (Singh et al., 2020).

Sing and colleagues (2020) discovered gender education dissimilarity and psychological stress. With increasing levels of education and time spent on the pandemic, men’s psychological stress has increased and accumulated a massive negative impact. The findings of gender differences in the needs of the psychological support service revealed that women had a greater need for psychological support than men. It has been discovered that men can cope with stress on their own, whereas women require professional assistance. Dang and Nguyen (2020) conducted research in six different countries on how pandemics affect men and women and came to the following conclusions. As a result, women are more prone than men to lose one’s positions in organizations as a result of a pandemic. Furthermore, women are more concerned about other potential COVID-19 consequences, such as increased gender-based violence, maternal and child health, income loss, and other social consequences (Dang & Nguyen, 2020). Due to mental health issues, the pandemic of coronavirus has had a considerable influence on women (Chang, 2020). In between a disease outbreak, mental health was compared in medical studies. Women were found to be more prevalent than men to report higher levels of psychological distress, anxiousness, and feelings of hopelessness (Pleh et al., 2020; Daly et al., 2020; Proto & Quintana-Domeque, 2021). Women seem to be more frightened of coronavirus (Broche-Pérez, 2020; Giordani et al, 2021) and have a greater incidence of disease outbreak suicidal behavior. This list of studies demonstrates that women are at a higher risk of mental disorders and have lower psychological well-being as the pandemic progresses (Hossain et al, 2020; Vindegaard, Benros, 2020; Xiong et al, 2020).

**Hypothesis.** We believe that the change in daily routine as a result of the COVID-19 global epidemic provokes emotional and psychological disorders, as well as the development of an overvalued attitude toward the health of students, primarily women. We anticipate that an increase in time spent in social isolation will have a negative impact on respondents’ psychological well-being.

**The aim.** The comparison of individual characteristics and changes in the psychological well-being of student youth depending on gender as a result of the forced lockdown due to coronavirus outbreak will be empirically investigated and theoretically supported.

**Materials and methods**

The substantive components of the gender research methodology of psychological well-being of student youth during forced self-isolation are tested, valid psychodiagnostic tools that provide a comprehensive study of the studied phenomena (Halian et al., 2020; Hudimova, 2021; Whitman et al., 2020; 1981; Zaitsev & Khvan, 2011; Vagg, Spielberger, and O’Hearn Jr, 1980; Dellinger, 1989). Researchers tested these methodological complexes in the study of safe educational space (Blynova et al., 2020), taking into account student teaching (Ozer, 2020; Khan et al, 2020; Huckins et al, 2020; Merlo et al, 2021; Padrón et al, 2021), respondents’ mental states (Popovich et al., 2020a), motivation studies and self-regulatory processes (Pigaiani et al, 2020). The study of current youth phenomena receives special attention (Ciolo et al, 2021; Hawke et al, 2020; Levita et al, 2020; Parola et al, 2020; Popovych, 2021a; 2021b). The interdisciplinary dimension (Fiorillo & Frangou, 2020; Shevchenko et al., 2020a; 2020b) is considered, as are studies of other human activities (Nosov et al., 2020a; 2020b; Pinkovetskaia et al., 2021; Zinchenko et al., 2020). The conducted study contains methodological principles that were used in the development of the current gender research of student youth.

**Participants.** The study included 101 people aged of 18 and 22 years. The study was conducted using survey questionnaire on the Google Form system as the global epidemic progressed. Terms of age limitations of the research group, information about the study is published on the online sites of higher education institutions in Odessa (Ukraine). The total sample was indeed defined by the amount of
participants who accurately and totally participated in this survey.

**Organization of Research.** The questionnaire was filled out as part of the research procedure. Each questionnaire came with a set of instructions. The study’s parameters, such as confidentiality, purpose, and timeline, are outlined in the instructions. An author’s method (Hudimova, 2021) was used to collect relevant information.

The psychological and individual spheres were examined with the Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale (Tennant, et al., 2007) (α-Cronbach=.87); Minnesota Multidisciplinary Personality Questionnaire (MMPI-3) (α = .88) (Whitman et al., 2020); Leonhard-Schmischek’s method for determining character accentuation (Leonhard, 1981); Spielberger-Khanin method for determining anxiety and worry (α-Cronbach’s coefficient was α = .81) (Zaitsev, Khvan, 2011; Vagg, Spielberger, and O’Hearn Jr, 1980); S. Dellinger’s psychogeometric test (α-Cronbach coefficient was α = .82) (Dellinger, 1989) and M. Luscher’s Color Choice Test (Luscher & Scott, 1971).

**Procedure.** The empirical methodology has been implemented in a form of a confirmative experiment. For each diagnostic method, the obtained results were interpreted separately. The subsequent stage of the study was to examine the interrelations between the disease outbreak situation and the psychological well-being of student youth.

**Statistical Analysis.** The data gathered was mathematically analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics v. 23.0.0 for Windows. Correlation analysis is used in the article, which employs the Student’s t-test, F-test (Fisher test), and modal distribution.

**Results**

The study involved representatives of the traditional gender distribution: men and women. The study found differences in psychological profiles between Group 1 (males, n = 49) and Group 2 (females, n = 52), as shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.**
Contrasts in psychological profile of Group 1 and Group 2 during forced lockdown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>M±SD</th>
<th>Student’s t-Test</th>
<th>Δ, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spielberger-Khanin method for determining anxiety and worry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reactive anxiety</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>40.55±2.239</td>
<td>-2.1*</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>55.10±2.810</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal anxiety</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>43.20±1.893</td>
<td>-2.5*</td>
<td>86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>56.30 ± 3.228</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leonhard-Schmischek’s method for determining character accentuation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Demonstrativeness</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>12.60±.7960</td>
<td>-7.1***</td>
<td>61.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>20.70±.8114</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysthymia</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>16.35±.9605</td>
<td>3.3**</td>
<td>138.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>11.85±.9355</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>14.70±1.3399</td>
<td>-2.3*</td>
<td>78.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>18.75±1.1283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclothymic</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>13.80±1.0301</td>
<td>2.4*</td>
<td>135.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>10.20±1.0751</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exaltation</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>12.40±1.2191</td>
<td>-2.5*</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>16.60±1.1526</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotionality</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>9.15±1.3500</td>
<td>-3.7**</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>15.30±.9208</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Group 1 – (males, n=49); Group 2 – (females, n=52); * – p≤.05; ** – p≤.01; *** – p≤.001; M – mean; SD – Standard deviation.

An important question is how the personal characteristics of Group 1 and Group 2 respondents affect their psychological well-being when they are isolated from others (Table 2).
Table 2.
Psychological well-being and personal characteristics of Group 1 and Group 2 respondents according to Student’s t-Test criterion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>M±SD</th>
<th>Student’s t-Test</th>
<th>Δ, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MMPI</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypochondria</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>52.35±2.297</td>
<td>-2.2*</td>
<td>81.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>65.05±2.318</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>51.75±2.242</td>
<td>-2.2*</td>
<td>89.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>58.70±2.315</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hysteria</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>50.60±3.033</td>
<td>-2.4*</td>
<td>83.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>61.60±3.487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypomania</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>57.80±3.043</td>
<td>2.5*</td>
<td>119.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>48.25±2.310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Well-being Scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological well-being</td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>46.03±10.329</td>
<td>2.3*</td>
<td>129.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 2</td>
<td>50.50±9.437</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Group 1 – (males, n=49); Group 2 – (females, n=52); * - p ≤ .05; M – mean; SD – standard deviation.

The study discovered the following patterns in students’ modal choice of geometric shapes and colors based on gender (Table 3).

Table 3.
Modal distribution and relationship of parameters according to the method of S. Dellinger and parameters according to the color test M. Luscher in Group 1 and Group 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modal distribution</th>
<th>Color selection rank in the test</th>
<th>F test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group 1</td>
<td>Group 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangle</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Box</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Circle</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectangle</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squiggle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purple</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A statistically significant F-test value indicates that the metric variable hierarchy of geometric shapes and color choice distribution is observed to be dependent on the nominal variable (boys / girls).

Discussion

The disease outbreak has a detrimental effect on the younger generation’s psycho-emotional side of life, as well as on the social, isolating people in all aspects of this concept. While most studies have investigated the effects of the global epidemic on demography mental health are fragmentary and contradictory, research on this topic is extremely necessary and relevant. A great deal of research has discovered proof of the pandemic’s impact on women, men, and other gender groups, including emotional stress and various types of mental disorders (Rana et al, 2021)

The presence of depressive-anxiety as well as hypochondriac episodes in respondents was the study’s hypothesis, which was confirmed by the findings.
The study of the state of anxiety revealed that the dynamics of change in this state are more prevalent in Group 2 than in Group 1 as the coronavirus pandemic progressed. The results of mathematical and statistical processing demonstrate the outlined trend convincingly. Differences in personal anxiety levels between boys (Group 1) and girls (Group 2) differ in the average value (M): girls – 56.30 ± 3.228; boys – 43.20 ± 1.893 points (p = .05). The prevalence of anxiety in girls is due to their emotionality, greater susceptibility to difficulties adapting to social isolation, and concern for their health and the safety of their family and friends. According to the comparative analysis, such indicators as dysthymia – 16.350 ± .96 (p = .05), exaltation – 16.200 ± 1.18 points, cyclothymia – 13.800 ± 1.03 (p = .05) predominate among boys. The girls, according to our observations, are characterized by frequent periodic mood swings. They are distinguished by low contact and silence, which alleviates the discomfort caused by the restriction of social contacts during a pandemic. In female students, the following dominant accentuations were established: demonstrativeness – 2.700 ± .81 points (p <.001), anxiety – 18.750 ± 1.12 points (p <.05), exaltation – 16.600 ± 1.15 points (p <.05) and emotionality – 15.300 ± .92 points (p <.1). Girls, according to our observations, are characterized by demonstrative behavior and a desire for constant attention. According to the findings, the severity of anxiety is caused by a proclivity to panic in response to various events. Excessive health care is related to increased personal anxiety among girls, which reduces activity, impoverishes interests, and further distracts from public life. Our findings support the findings of other researchers that, regardless of the circumstances, boys are less vulnerable to negative psychological consequences (Filippova & Saliu, 2021; Sanchez-Teurel et al., 2021; Baghurst et al., 2014; Gefen & Fish, 2012).

There is a link between "Rectangle" and purple in boys, which can be seen in a more or less conscious state of confusion, ambiguity in problems, and uncertainty about themselves at the moment. Characterized by low self-esteem, a desire to succeed in their activities, inconsistency in actions, increased criticism of others and restraint in expressing their emotions, and apprehension about becoming deeply involved in any personal or educational relationship. As a result, the situation of forced isolation is viewed as a chance to unwind and prepare for future socially significant relationships.

The relationship between the “Triangle” and the yellow color in both groups is explained by energy, ambition, a tendency to leadership, a focus on success in the activity – the opportunity to express themselves in learning.

Modal choice “Box” in the group of girls demonstrates: organization and planning of educational activities in accordance with current self-isolation rules, as well as the ability to work out all the specific details of current ideas and opportunities to improve their psycho-emotional state. Girls place a high value on harmony in interpersonal relationships because they have strong empathy and a proclivity to avoid conflict. The first modal choice of “Circle” for girls demonstrates that with the assistance of online learning, they can continue to actively participate in the social activities of higher education institutions and enjoy it greatly. Despite the fact that there is a decrease in mood and physical fatigue as a result of the almost non-existent competent daily routine.
“Working group (green, red)” is disintegrated and chosen by girls in the 7th and 8th positions, who are characterized by apathy and antipathy toward these colors. Girls do not associate these colors with their current situation, mood, or motivation. Respondents have a negative mental state that is similar to stress and emotional dissatisfaction, which is compensated for by fantasizing and striving for bright events in life that will occur after quarantine. This could explain why this group of students is so active in various amateur groups, even with the help of video conferencing applications. Female students have higher levels of anxiety and disbelief in their abilities, and they avoid learning situations where they can demonstrate their incompetence.

Gray is clearly the third most popular color in both boys and girls. Gray is a neutral color. There are violations of interpersonal relationships and a decrease in academic performance when people “escape” into the inner world or virtual space to distract themselves from negative experiences.

Consequently, using project methods, the respondents’ personal characteristics are embossed, which is reflected in their modal choices.

The situation created by the global epidemic is astounding in terms of the psychological consequences of forced social isolation (Brooks, 2020; Golberstein et al, 2020). Thus, it can be stated that mental disorders are observed as a consequence of various life changes of student youth as an outcome of the pandemic, with an advantage among the female population, that has detrimental ramifications for psychological well-being and overall health.

Conclusions
1. Group 1 respondents (girls, n = 52) have a more pronounced anxiety development dynamic (M = 56.30 ± 3.228). The girls perceive self-isolation as a direct threat, which not only disrupts the normal rhythm of life, but can also lead to illness, poor academic performance, future employment opportunities, and basic social relations.
2. Despite the decline in academic performance, there were fewer manifestations of stress and low mood among Group 2 respondents (boys, n = 49). The boys chose to be distracted by the virtual world or other activities as a coping strategy, which kept their psychological well-being at an acceptable level (t = 2.3; p = .05). However, the strategy of overcoming emotions as an avoidance of thoughts about the current situation has protracted negative outcomes for mental health, specifically the development of depression symptoms (M = 51.75 ± 2.242).
3. To cope with the stress of a pandemic, it has been discovered that girls frequently use video communication to support communication with friends / self-government of an educational institution. However, the relationship between social networks and the Internet in general for psychological well-being is highly debated, as evidenced by a number of studies.
4. The study adds to the growing domain of research about the psychological content parameters of an impact of coronavirus pandemic on student youth’s psychological well-being. The study’s findings should be implemented in the organization of higher education institutions’ educational processes; important parameters should be considered when planning educational and upbringing activities for student youth.
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