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Abstract

The article is devoted to the analysis of the interpretation possibilities of the mass media metaphor. The aim of the work is to identify the semantic spheres that beget a metaphor in the modern language of the Ukrainian mass media. This work demonstrates the mechanism of metaphor production: components of a new meaning, components of metaphorical meaning, and the structure of metaphorical transference. The problems associated with the interpretation of metaphors are considered. The cognitive process of creating the investigated units represents the types of metaphorical transference. The metaphor is designed to simplify the process of perceiving complex new material. In 2000-2020 a new wave of metaphorical productivity was marked by the terms of the natural sciences during the timeframe of the research. As a result of the analysis, the metaphors of the political and economic discourses of the mass media were investigated, taking into account the semantic, cognitive-pragmatic approaches. On the basis of this material, the main trends in the development

Anotaciya

Стаття присвячена аналізу інтерпретаційних можливостей метафори масмедіа. Мета роботи полягає у визначенні семантичних сфер, які породжують метафору в сучасному мовленні українських масмедіа. У розвідці продемонстровано механізм творення метафори: компоненти нового змісту, компоненти метафоричного значення, структуру метафоричного перенесення. Розглянуту проблему, пов'язану із трактуванням метафор. Когнітивний процес створення досліджуваних одиниць представляє типи метафоричного перенесення. Визначено, що метафора покликана спростити процес сприйняття складного нового матеріалу. У 2000–2020 pp. новою хвилиєю метафоричної продуктивності відзначено термін природничих наук. У роботі досліджено метафори політичного й економічного дискурсів масмедіа із урахуванням семантичного, когнітивно-прагматичного підходів. У результаті проведеного аналізу виявлено головні тенденції розвитку сучасного мовлення
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of the modern language of the Ukrainian mass media are revealed and the interpretative and evaluative potential of the media metaphor is determined.
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**Introduction**

Language reflects the social development of the people and the state. It records all the turning points in the life of society. The first two decades of the XXI century are characterized in Ukraine by significant changes that have affected not only social development, but also the language, particularly its lexical and semantic level. The language of the media is a verbal reflection of the relevant social factors – a textualized response to life. Among the verbal means of displaying public life in the mass media language of the XXI century an important place is occupied by metaphors.

The relevance of the topic is conditioned by the presence of metaphors in the mass media language that demonstrate the potential of this language, its creativity, the connection of the language with public life. Therefore, such metaphors require a detailed comprehensive analysis from the standpoint of the modern linguistic interpretation of the units under study.

The purpose of this work is to identify the semantic spheres that beget metaphorical meaning in the political and economic discourse of the mass media over the period 2000-2020. This will reveal the interpretative possibilities of the metaphor and repeat the cognitive-linguistic way of its creation.

**Literature Review**

The metaphor in the language of mass media has been the subject of active research by many linguists: Kh. Datsyshyn (2005), O. Ilchenko (2019; 2012), L. Kudriavtseva et al (2005), I. Filatenko (2003), O. Chadiuk (2005), A. Chudinov (2003), O. Styshov (2016), and others. Metaphor of the Ukrainian mass media in 2000-2020 in terms of studying the interpretation potential has not been a subject of study.

**Theoretical Framework**

Metaphor has been studied for a long time: Aristotle gave the first definition of metaphor, which marked the beginning of a serious study of the mechanism of semantic changes in the language. Metaphor is the result of the relationship between two meanings of a word, a vivid example of dynamics in the field of lexical semantics (Hak, 1988, p. 11).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Researchers</th>
<th>Main achievements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I Antique</td>
<td>Aristotle, Quintilian, Cicero (Lahuta, 2003, p. 16-22)</td>
<td>Study of metaphor as a rhetorical device; obtaining the first definition: the transfer of signs in connection with the similarity of one object with another</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II Medieval (late 5th century – middle 17th century)</td>
<td>F. Melanchthon, I. Sevilskyi, G. Hirobosk (Lahuta, 2003, p. 22-27)</td>
<td>A clear and consistent definition of the &quot;metaphor-word&quot; with a description of its objective limits; systematization of figures and tropes; regulation of terminology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III Modern times (late 17th century – late 19th century)</td>
<td>D. Gartley, J. Locke, J. Mill (Lahuta, 2003, p. 27-29)</td>
<td>Revealing the connections between the associative nature of thinking and the creation of a metaphor; use of metaphor in scientific and fictional texts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The level of modern science of language and speech convinces: solving the problem of studying a metaphor requires an integrated approach, which implies representatives of different sciences bringing together (Shakhnarovych, Yurieva, 1988, p. 108). The metaphor is being studied in philosophy, logic, psychology, psychoanalysis, literary criticism, literary criticism, rhetoric, linguistic philosophy, various schools of linguistics (Arutiunova, 1990, p. 5).

An independent scientific discipline of metaphorology has even appeared, based on philosophical, logical, psychological and linguistic interdisciplinary connections (Kravets, 2005, p. 214; Lahuta, 2003). Considering the duration, "although not a systematic approach" (Bickerton, 1990, p. 284), of the study of metaphor, there is a corresponding periodization of the formation of metaphorology. There are four periods: antique, medieval, the period of the New Time and the modern (Lahuta, 2003) (see table 1).

Interpreting the concept of "metaphor", the entries of the modern period demonstrate: metaphor is understood in a narrow and broad sense. Metaphor (gr. Metaphora – transfer) is the process of transferring the form of a linguistic unit or the design of a linguistic category from one object, phenomenon, action or feature to another based on a certain similarity between these objects for the purpose of characterization or nomination (Arutiunova, 2003, p. 233; Taranenko, 2007, p. 350). In a broad sense, the term "metaphor" is used to denote a figurative meaning in general (Arutiunova, 2003, p. 233; Taranenko, 2007, p. 352).

The research of the metaphor in this work is conducted authors taking into account its two main functions: characterizing (aesthetic, expressive, evaluative) and nominative (designating concepts that do not have a name) (Arutiuinova, 2003; Taranenko, 2007, p. 352). Cognitivists (see table 1) argue that the metaphor arises in connection with the deep features of human thinking (Hak, 1988, p. 11). It appears not because it is needed, not because it is indispensable, but because it is inherent for human thinking and language. Research in the field of psychology proves that "metaphors reach the affected areas of consciousness faster than
their direct logical equivalents" (Lahuta, 2003, p. 81). A person does not perceive phenomena in their pure form, but endows them with certain properties (Hak, 1988, p. 13). It is only later the authors derive from the metaphor "benefit for their creativity" (Hak, 1988, p. 12). Linguists add: the speaker uses a metaphor to designate concepts "that have not yet become the property of the dictionary and do not simulate the public's reaction" (Yeshchenko, 1999, p. 276). It means the motives for creating a metaphor can be not only an epistemological search, but also desire to present certain concepts in a new, original way, to designate certain concepts expressively (Yeshchenko, 1999, p. 277). Concerning the functions of metaphor in the language of mass media, we can conclude: a metaphor performs an expressive, evaluative, nominative function, provides the effect of novelty. Researchers note also other functions of metaphor, in particular, the economy of linguistic means, informative, text-forming, which consists in the ability of metaphorical expression to continue (Vynnyk, 2007, p. 4). Kh. Datsyshyn experimentally proves that metaphor "conveys more information than literal expression and at the same time focuses on the most essential, from the point of view of the subject of speech, signs of reality" (Datsyshyn, 2005, p. 9).

Each recipient decodes the metaphor differently. "To understand a metaphor means to some extent to trace the path of its creation apocryphally <...>" (Shakhnarovych, Yurieva, 1988, p. 109). For this it is necessary to determine: 1) the components of the formation of a new meaning; 2) components of metaphorical meaning; 3) the structure of the metaphor; 4) the mechanism of metaphorical transfer.

1. Metaphor is one of the means of generating new meaning. This process involves four components: the category of object-1, properties of the category of object-1, category of the object-2, properties of the category of object-2 (Arutiunova, 2003, p. 233).

The metaphor searches for a similar element (Arutiunova, 2003, p. 233; Taranenko, 2007, p. 351; Shakhnarovych, Yurieva, 1988, p. 111; Chadiuk, 2005, p. 5) of two compared objects or reveals the associations on which the metaphor is based (Kravets, 2005; Ricoeur, 1990). P. Ricoeur defines a fundamental difference between similarity and association. This difference seems to lie in the opposition of the verbal to the non-verbal (Ricoeur, 1990, p. 221). It is worth agreeing that a common component of the compared objects is non-verbal characteristics. P. Ricoeur understands visual, auditory and other sensory images as a common component (Ricoeur, 1990, p. 221). A. Taranenko names the following signs of similarity in the metaphor: 1) by external similarity: shape, color, location, sounds, features of movement (movement), functions, quantity; 2) by the similarity of physiological and psychological impressions from the perception of various objects (subjectivity is higher than when creating other metaphors); transfers from the concrete physical world to the spheres of psychological and social life that are inaccessible for direct sensory perception, abstract relations, in particular from space to time; transfers due to the actualization of a secondary, often indistinct associative feature, in particular of an emotional and evaluative nature, with almost complete deactualization, but with the subject-logical basis of the original meaning; 3) similarity that does not exist in reality (taking into account its subjective transformations at the level of consciousness), but which is only desirable for the speaker, in particular during the adress and the formation of their own names (Taranenko, 2007, p. 351).

Thus, the source of the new meaning of the metaphor is comparison (Arutiunova, 2003, p. 233; Talko, 2009, p. 5; Telia 1988, p. 111). The task of the recipient is to "skip" someone else's comparison from the perspective of their own experience and knowledge and come to the same conclusion as the creator of the metaphor (Telia, 1988, p. 109).

2. The metaphorical meaning determines the principle of proportion (Arutiunova, 2003, p. 234; Ricoeur, 1990). The interaction of two different classes of denotations (or one, if we are talking about a metaphor in a broad sense, then the concept of "metaphor" includes metonymy as a means of producing a figurative meaning) leads to the semantic ambiguity of the metaphor (first of all, we are talking about a "alive" metaphor) (Arutiunova, 2003, p. 234; Shakhnarovych, Yurieva, 1988, p. 109). This typical feature of the metaphor complicates its interpretation and limits its usage in functional styles. The characteristic of one of the denotations (one of the three components of the metaphorical meaning is the category of denotation-1; the category of denotation-2; the characteristic of the denotation named in the metaphor) can be a national component of the consciousness of native speakers. It means it can belong to the
collection of their common ideas about the corresponding category of objects, including mythological, cultural and emotional-evaluative connotations (Arutiuunova, 2003, p. 234; Kravets, 2005, p. 213).

3. The structure of the metaphor is determined by two components: the metaphorical meaning is a property of the actual subject and the image of the auxiliary subject of the metaphor (Arutiuunova, 2003, p. 234).

4. Metaphorical transfer, as a rule, is supplemented by a three-term structure: initial word → transitional concept ← resultative word (Hak, 1988, p. 14).

Metaphorization is the semantic model of the formation of a figurative meaning in a lexeme, which causes the emergence of a metaphorical lexical-semantic version, namely, a metaphor (Chadiuk, 2005, p. 5).

Researchers note that "when metaphorizing presents we should not ... look for common schemes inherent in the dictionary definitions of two words" (Hak, 1988, p. 15), because a metaphor is set in on the basis of vague concepts that human cognition operates with. There is also an opposite opinion regarding the meaning of a metaphor: ".<...> Metaphors mean only that (or no more) what the word-components, taken in their literal meaning, mean" (Davidson, 1990, p. 173).

A metaphor reflects the relationship between two meanings of a word. The types of metaphorical transfers are determined by the original and new meaning of the word. In the process of studying the types of metaphor, it is worth distinguishing between transfers of common semantic categories and transfers of individual words. Transfers are reflected in the etymology of the word, in the polysemy of the word, in phraseological combinations and in a word usage (Hak, 1988, p. 17).

Metaphorical transfers in the language are implemented according to certain patterns. The main generalized types of transfers were defined in ancient times: Aristotle called the metaphor "transfer of an unusual name either from genus to species, or from species to genus, or from species to species, or by analogy" (Aristotle, 2000, p. 54); Quintilian identified four kinds of metaphors: from living to non-living, from non-living to living, within the living and within the non-living (Kravets, 2005, p. 214; Taranenko, 2007, p. 351). In modern studies, these types are supplemented and detailed (Arutiuunova, 2003; Kravets, 2005; Solhanik, 1980; Hak, 1988). S. Ulman identifies the following types of metaphorization: a) anthropomorphic metaphors; b) zoomorphic metaphors; c) transfer from concrete to abstract; d) synesthetic; e) active metaphorization of the vocabulary of that sphere of life, which at a certain period attracts special attention of society (Taranenko, 2007, p. 351; Hak, 1988, p. 17), demonstrating "the place of a certain sphere on the scale of social values of society" (Taranenko, 2007, p. 351); J. Lakoff and M. Johnson distinguish orientational metaphors: "up – down", "inside – outside", "front side – back side", "deep – shallow", "central – peripheral" (Lakoff, Johnson, 1990). Other researchers distinguish geographic, meteorological, biomorphic, technical, sociomorphic metaphors, etc. (Hak, 1988, p. 17).

Transfers are not one-vectorized, but nevertheless, in a certain direction they occur more often than in another one (Hak, 1988, p. 18). A. Taranenko notes that the metaphorization of the vocabulary of sports, space, informatics, medicine is a dynamic process now (Taranenko, 2007, pp. 351-352).

Scientists define a number of patterns of metaphorization of the meaning of a word: the physical attribute of an object is transferred to a person, inducing the designation of the mental characteristics of a person; an attribute of an object is converted into an attribute of an abstract concept; a sign or action of a person refers to objects, natural phenomena, abstract concepts (the principle of anthropomorphism); features of nature are transferred to humans (Arutiuunova, 2003, p. 235). Metaphorization processes are not the same in different types of discourse.

Researchers of the metaphor note that in this constant transfer of concepts from one sphere to another, not only the flexibility of the human mind turns out; it is a necessity for the very understanding of reality (Hak, 1988, p. 13).

**Methodology**

To achieve this goal, it is used the appropriate research methods – linguistic description, based on observation of the linguistic material; analysis and synthesis used in the description of metaphors; comparative method, due to which the basic theoretical foundations of the research are determined; the method of contextual-semantic analysis, which allows to take into account the context for figuring out the new metaphorical meaning of a word; the method of contextual (discursive) analysis, which is aimed at determining the functional load of mass media.
metaphors.

Results and Discussion

Interpretation of metaphor lies in finding common points in the comparison of objects – the act of metaphorization. This act is expressed by the transfer of a certain attribute of one object, phenomenon, person to another, upon the availability of a common characteristic. A necessary condition for understanding the metaphor is that the addressee should take into consideration the addressee’s factor, in the context of which the productivity of the type of metaphorical transfer and the interpretative result of the metaphor as a result of cognitive-pragmatic effort depends on the characteristics of the discourse.

Thus, political discourse transforms the action of the corresponding political processes over the period 2000-2020 in Ukraine. And the language of the mass media synchronously reflects the constantly changing of political situation in the state. To assess and characterize political processes, the corresponding discourse actively brings about metaphorization of medical, military, cultural, sports vocabulary.

The medical industry in political discourse is represented by words indicating diseases, scientific achievements in the field of medicine, the names of drugs. Frequent use of the word syndrome is limited to the designation of a specific population group (Psychologists know the so-called “immigrant syndrome”. A person, who has come to another country, at a certain stage, often begins to feel depressed, lonely, lost, feeling hopeless or ruined life... (Sierova, 2013) 29; Afghan syndrome of post-Maidan Ukraine (Kobza, 2019); “Donetsk-Luhansk syndrome”: what about our guys who survived the anti-terrorist operation (Volyn News Agency, 2014); Today, the syndrome of “polluted water” is relevant again. Experts analyze the stagnation in society, looking for reasons. Are there any parallels between the current state of Ukraine and a clear example of stagnation – during the reign of Leonid Brezhnev? (Stepula, 2011). Names of diseases and the word "disease" itself do not have such restrictions as the syndrome, for example, Five "diseases" of Ukrainian voters (Popova, 2018).

The medical industry in political discourse is represented by words indicating diseases, scientific achievements in the field of medicine, the names of drugs. Frequent use of the word syndrome is limited to the designation of a specific population group (Psychologists know the so-called “immigrant syndrome”. A person, who has come to another country, at a certain stage, often begins to feel depressed, lonely, lost, feeling hopeless or ruined life... (Sierova, 2013) 29; Afghan syndrome of post-Maidan Ukraine (Kobza, 2019); “Donetsk-Luhansk syndrome”: what about our guys who survived the anti-terrorist operation (Volyn News Agency, 2014); Today, the syndrome of “polluted water” is relevant again. Experts analyze the stagnation in society, looking for reasons. Are there any parallels between the current state of Ukraine and a clear example of stagnation – during the reign of Leonid Brezhnev? (Stepula, 2011). Names of diseases and the word "disease" itself do not have such restrictions as the syndrome, for example, Five "diseases" of Ukrainian voters (Popova, 2018).

In modern political discourse, words representing military affairs are in the process of metaphorization. War acquires a secondary meaning “struggle”, “unfriendly, hostile attitude”, etc.: Russia’s political war in Europe: agents of chaos and who controls them (research review) (Savchuk, 2017); battle – “confrontation of two parties”: They [presidential candidates P. Poroshenko and V. Zelenskyi – authors] immediately rushed into battle (Newscast TNS, 2019). In the language of mass media among the words on military topics the authors of this work notice the word trench, used to express the meaning of “inaction” (However, as the Sehodnia newspaper notes, it is unlikely to drive the population into trade trenches (Bila, 2013); minefield – “danger” (Yuschchenko doesn’t put pressure on Omelchenko, and Tymoshenko is on the minefield (Interfax-Ukraine, 2005)), etc. Since 2014 we have noticed a sharp decline in the performance of the “military” metaphor in the Ukrainian mass media, but this vocabulary has begun to be actively used in its direct meaning, compare: War in the east: 14 batters, 1 JFO [Joint Forces Operation – authors] fighter was killed, 2 occupiers were destroyed (War, 2019); “War is not a passion. This is my native pain” – a photojournalist who filmed the Maidan and Donbass (Tymoshenko, 2020).

The political discourse of the mass media borrows words from the cultural continuum, which is formed by the names of various types of shows, words denoting actors and spectators, the corresponding premises, theatrical props, works of art and their elements, as well as words associated with puppet theater, circus (Andreichenko, 2011). The collected material

29 Translation of metaphors in all examples is made by us and links are made to original mass media materials
demonstrates the functioning of such cultural vocabulary: stage curtain is a folding screen indicating the exposure "backstage" policy (Serhii Shvets unfolds the stage curtain (Newscast TNS, 2019); Behind the stage curtain of a show called "Analyzes" there remain many other requirements that Zelenskyi claimed about the current presidency and formulated state plans (Newscast TNS, 2019); theatre of the absurd – Ukrainian politics (If you are Evil, is it permitted for you? or Theatre of the absurd in Odessa (Smolinska, 2019)); a puppeteer is one who "owns" Ukraine, and the theater, we assume, is whole Ukraine (The artistic and political performance "Election Halloween" took place under the walls of the Verkhovna Rada. Parliament was stormed by "political carcasses", "mysterious puppeteers" and their "puppets", and "athletes" and "professors" were dragged there by their collars. (Politychni, 2012)); puppet theatre – is Ukraine led by the president or another influential person, who is called a puppeteer (The world farther and farther resembles a puppet theatre. Somewhere in obscure from time to time a mysterious puppeteer pulls strings, known only for him (Kostenko, 2007)); scenario – "development of events" (All these events took place under the same scenario (Bratushchak, 2017)); tour – "retreats with citizens" (Poroshenko’s "Tour". 10 stories about PR, which may be of interest to law enforcement (Bratushchak, 2017)); quartet denotes four participants in a political event (Lashchenko, 2020), etc. Thus, the "cultural" metaphor indicates the exposure of the true state of affairs in politics.

The productivity of metaphorical borrowings from the sports field is illustrated in particular by the following verbal units: one-sided game – "miscalculation in political strategy" (#Hroisman’s government: One-sided game. How Poroshenko lost to the Cabinet. There is one kind of billiards – snooker. It is probably the most difficult and exquisite kind of billiards and at the same time is the perfect metaphor of current Ukrainian government (Romaniuk, 2018)); marathon, race – "competition" (Local elections 2020: video of the election marathon on Channel 24 (Local, 2020); The American leader spoke about Amy Klobuchar, who announced her participation in the presidential race during the snowfall (Trump, 2019)); sport – "favorite occupation" (It seems that disregard for the law – a favorite sport of Ukrainians (Huzar, 2014)), etc. The "sports" metaphor demonstrates the dynamism of the development of events in the political discourse of the mass media.

Lexemes, representing particularly the mentioned areas of metaphorical borrowing, acquire new figurative, contextually dependent (occasional) meanings. Such political metaphors do not go unseen by the recipients, because they are information-intensive and at the same time vivid, expressive and capable to express the corresponding characteristics.

The analysis of economic discourse showed that its linguistic fund is enriched by the special vocabulary of various industries, which in the language of the mass media "is used in two main aspects: literally and figuratively" (Solhanik, 1981, p. 72). The figurative use of lexemes is subordinated to the objectives of the style of the mass media. "<...> The use of terms in a figurative sense, according to H. Solhanik, is one of the main and constant sources of replenishment of newspaper vocabulary, the development of our own newspaper lexical fund" (Solhanik, 1981, p. 73). The figurative meaning of words is represented particularly by metaphors, which functions are to "revive" the language of the mass media, to give it expressiveness, imagery, and this is harmoniously combined with the function of social assessment (Solhanik, 1981, pp. 73-74). In addition, the expressiveness acquired by the word serves as a means of attracting a reader/viewer audience. As a rule vocabulary from the natural, everyday life, medical, and military fields is undergone the process of metaphorization.

From a historical point of view, the role of the terms of the field of mathematics, physics, astronomy and other sciences in the development of the vocabulary of the literary language is important, but the research of H. Solhanik (Solhanik, 1981) regarding the language of the press demonstrates: the mentioned exact and natural sciences do not have a deep influence on the replenishment of vocabulary of press, they are inferior to medical, cultural, sports, military terminology. This researcher assumes that the exact and natural sciences have fulfilled their historical mission; they have provided the language of the press with a large number of metaphorically reinterpreted newspaper words (Solhanik, 1981, p. 86). Obviously study of metaphors of economic discourse in mass media over the period 2000-2020 forms a new wave of metaphorization of the terms of the natural sciences. In particular, economic discourse uses the following words of this industry – climate, which is an integral part of the "meteorological vocabulary" (Solhanik, 1981, p. 88); ("We will stand side by side with Ukraine till it fights
in a figurative sense indicating such event a big laundry situation even harder.

eral decrease in this category introduced an adaptive...

an everyday vocabulary from Russia; 

account every penny prices – demand for goods and services of the enterprise.

this vocabulary are understandable to a wide mass media, inasmuch as the meta widespread phenomenon in the language of the...

The metaphorization of everyday vocabulary is a widespread phenomenon in the language of the mass media, the newer the word in the language of the mass media, the higher its imagery in the process of metaphorization, because there is a close connection between the initial meaning and the effective one. The main feature of the use of this vocabulary is the serial nature of its metaphorization: whole thematic groups are undergone metaphorization, acquiring a homogeneous expressive-evaluative meaning. Individual uses supplement existing groups.

The metaphorization of everyday vocabulary is a widespread phenomenon in the language of the mass media, inasmuch as the metaphors based on this vocabulary are understandable to a wide audience: trap – The country is in a state of "deflation trap" – an economic phenomenon characterized by the conditions of falling demand for goods and services of the enterprise – producers have to reduce production and sales prices (Brodovych, 2009); bag – Once you had a kind of "black bag" and now you take into account every penny (Shechelokov, 2015); laundry – How European banks became a part of the "big laundry" for dirty money from Russia (Moiseienko, 2019). The "everyday" metaphor in the economic discourse of the mass media has a high degree of negative evaluative meaning. This evaluative orientation of the use of "everyday" vocabulary in the mass media language can be explained by a general decrease in this category of vocabulary.

The "medical" vocabulary has a strong and profound influence on the language of the mass media. As in political discourse, in economic discourse this lexical category has negative evaluative specialization. In a figurative sense the following words are usually used: medicines – "Cure for corruption": how to fight bribery in Transcarpathia (Anti-corruption bulletin "Vasha Nadiya", 2016); sick – Sick economy causes damage to their possessions (Lanovyi, 2016); heart The heart of Ukrainian corruption is the prosecutor's office. How to snatch it? (Demchuk, 2015); anatomy – Journalist Larysa Stupak interviewed Chop City Council economist Cheban Tetiana about the anatomy of bribery provocation by citizen Serhii Nikulin from Dnipropetrovsk city (Tribune, 2017); sedative – "Sedative" for the electorate – salaries and pensions will be increased by force (Chorna, 2011). Optimistic mood, perhaps with irony demonstrates the following metaphors: recovery – Ukraine has introduced an adaptive quarantine recovery plan, which consists of 5 stages. It is important to constantly exchange experiences with other countries on the fight against coronavirus, economic recovery after COVID-19, business support, preservation and creation of new jobs (Ukraine, 2020). These lexemes are more imaginative than the previous block of examples of "medical" metaphors. An interesting tendency can be noticed: the more unexpected usage of the word in economic discourse, the more vivid it is.

Metaphorization of the military vocabulary in economic discourse demonstrates the standardization of the word usage war and its determinism. Metaphors are used as standard means of it: vodka wars (On the eve of the vodka war? (Tertychna, 2003)); tariff war (Demand for LVMH brands has been stable in recent years, which is not the case with Tiffany products – in the first quarter of this year the company's global profits declined by 3%, and the "tariff war" between the US and China could worsen the company’s situation even harder (Economic truth, 2019)); gas war (Russia's gas war must be won (Lanovyi, 2015)); price wars (Price wars and pandemics at the same time: what is special about the recent fall in oil prices? (Savkova,
2020)). We observe the spread in economic discourse of such military terms as fight – The fight against the shadow, that is, commodity smuggling, has become a constant refrain of power in recent years (Economic truth, 2020); front – Statements and insides about imminent victories on the nuclear missile front flooded the Russian media (Bohapov, 2019).

The modern development of the language design of the economic discourse of the mass media is determined by the metaphorical types of transfer from different thematic categories of special vocabulary. This is due to extralinguistic factors. For the language of mass media an important driving force of such speech activity is social evaluativity, which manifests its nature, features, peculiarities. The action of the principle of social assessment and expressiveness predetermines the selection (in qualitative and quantitative characteristics) of lexical categories, in other words it determines the lexical system of the economic discourse of the mass media.

Conclusions

Language is a living organism that develops along with its speakers. This is a kind of sensitive matter that reflects social, political, economic changes in the life of the people. Analyzing changes in the language, first of all we deal with the language of mass media, which is a relay of popular science knowledge for the public, because mass media is a means of accelerating the assimilation of terminology, approbation of peculiarities. The action of the principle of social evaluativity predetermines the expressive and evaluative means of language (speech).

A characteristic feature of the mass media language is a metaphor based on the comparison of two objects or categories of objects. Determining the objects to be compared and the manifestation of their common properties is a prerequisite for understanding the metaphor. For this it is necessary to mentally reconstruct the mechanism of creating a metaphor: to establish the components of generating a new meaning; to identify the components of metaphorical meaning; to find out the structure of metaphorical transfer and determine its mechanism.

The lexical system of the mass media language has an open character, the relative stability can be determined only for a certain period, which determines the constant necessity (urgency) of its research. Therefore, the analysis of the metaphors of political and economic discourses is a synchronous cross-section of the study of the Ukrainian mass media vocabulary over the period 2000-2020.
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